*

Before the UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES The Library of Congress

RECEIVED
Public Information Office

APR 07 2017

COPYRIGHT OFFICE

In the Matter of:

Determination of Rates and Terms for Making and Distributing Phonorecords (Phonorecords III) Docket No. 16-CRB-0003-PR (2018-2022)

AMAZON DIGITAL SERVICES LLC, GOOGLE INC., PANDORA MEDIA, INC., AND SPOTIFY USA INC.'S COUNTER-DESIGNATIONS TO COPYRIGHT OWNER'S DESIGNATION OF PHONORECORDS I TESTIMONY

Pursuant to this Court's order on March 29, 2017, Amazon Digital Services LLC ("Amazon"), Google Inc. ("Google"), Pandora Media, Inc. ("Pandora"), and Spotify USA Inc. ("Spotify") (collectively, the "Services") hereby counter-designate the below testimony from the *Phonorecords I* proceeding in response to the National Music Publishers' Association and the Nashville Songwriters International Association's (collectively, the "Copyright Owners") motion to admit Exhibits 321 and 322 (*Phonorecords I* testimony of Timothy Quirk and Daniel Sheeran, respectively).

Sheeran Testimony:

6157:8-22

6169:2-6172:22

6179:15-6180:10

6182:16-6183:20

6187:15-6189:1

Quirk Testimony:

4614:21-4616:9

4619:18-4623:10

Additionally, the Services object to the Copyright Owners' designation of the colloquy at 6235:21-6240:8 as irrelevant.

¹ For the Copyright Royalty Judges' convenience, attached as Appendix A is a highlighted version of the hearing transcripts containing the testimony of Messrs. Sheeran and Quirk. Highlighted in yellow are the designations by the Copyright Owners; in blue are the designations by the Services.

		ı	1	1	1		
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP	ļ	!	i	i	i	į	
/s/ Michael S. Elkin	ļ	ļ	į.	į.	į.		
Michael S. Elkin		-:					
Thomas Patrick Lane							
Daniel N. Guisbond							
Stacey Foltz Stark	:						
200 Park Avenue	i	i	i	i	i	i	
New York, NY 10166	'						
Telephone: (212) 294-6700	1						
Facsimile: (212) 294-4700							
melkin@winston.com							
tlane@winston.com		i					
dguisbond@winston.com	!	:	:	:	!	:	
sfstark@winston.com		i		:	:		
KING & SPALDING LLP							
/ / 77 .7 0. + .7 7							
	-	_:	:	:	:		
Kenneth Steinthal	:	_:			:	:	
Kenneth Steinthal Joseph Wetzel		-:					
Kenneth Steinthal Joseph Wetzel 101 Second Street, Suite 2300	:	-:					
Kenneth Steinthal Joseph Wetzel 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94105	:	:					
Kenneth Steinthal Joseph Wetzel 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 318-1200		-: -: -: -: -: -: -: -: -: -: -: -: -: -					
Kenneth Steinthal Joseph Wetzel 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 318-1200 Facsimile: (415) 318-1300		-: -: -: -: -: -: -: -: -: -: -: -: -: -					
Kenneth Steinthal Joseph Wetzel 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 318-1200 Facsimile: (415) 318-1300 ksteinthal@kslaw.com							
Kenneth Steinthal Joseph Wetzel 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 318-1200 Facsimile: (415) 318-1300 ksteinthal@kslaw.com						The second secon	
San Francisco, CA 94105							
Kenneth Steinthal Joseph Wetzel 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 318-1200 Facsimile: (415) 318-1300 ksteinthal@kslaw.com jwetzel@kslaw.com	ESI	!					
Kenneth Steinthal Joseph Wetzel 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 318-1200 Facsimile: (415) 318-1300 ksteinthal@kslaw.com jwetzel@kslaw.com Counsel for Google Inc. WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGI	ESI	!					
Kenneth Steinthal Joseph Wetzel 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 318-1200 Facsimile: (415) 318-1300 ksteinthal@kslaw.com jwetzel@kslaw.com **Counsel for Google Inc.** WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGI /s/ Benjamin E. Marks Benjamin E. Marks	ESI	!					
Kenneth Steinthal Joseph Wetzel 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 318-1200 Facsimile: (415) 318-1300 ksteinthal@kslaw.com jwetzel@kslaw.com Counsel for Google Inc. WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGI //s/ Benjamin E. Marks Benjamin E. Marks Jennifer Ramos	ESI	!					
Kenneth Steinthal Joseph Wetzel 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 318-1200 Facsimile: (415) 318-1300 ksteinthal@kslaw.com jwetzel@kslaw.com Counsel for Google Inc. WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGI /s/ Benjamin E. Marks Benjamin E. Marks Jennifer Ramos 767 Fifth Avenue	ESI	!					
Kenneth Steinthal Joseph Wetzel 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 318-1200 Facsimile: (415) 318-1300 ksteinthal@kslaw.com jwetzel@kslaw.com Counsel for Google Inc. WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGI /s/ Benjamin E. Marks Benjamin E. Marks Jennifer Ramos	ESI	!					
Kenneth Steinthal Joseph Wetzel 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 318-1200 Facsimile: (415) 318-1300 ksteinthal@kslaw.com jwetzel@kslaw.com Counsel for Google Inc. WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGI /s/ Benjamin E. Marks Benjamin E. Marks Jennifer Ramos 767 Fifth Avenue	ESI	!					
Kenneth Steinthal Joseph Wetzel 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 318-1200 Facsimile: (415) 318-1300 ksteinthal@kslaw.com jwetzel@kslaw.com **Counsel for Google Inc.** WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGI /s/ Benjamin E. Marks Benjamin E. Marks Jennifer Ramos 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153	ESI	!					
Kenneth Steinthal Joseph Wetzel 101 Second Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 318-1200 Facsimile: (415) 318-1300 ksteinthal@kslaw.com jwetzel@kslaw.com **Counsel for Google Inc.** WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGI **Ish Benjamin E. Marks Benjamin E. Marks Jennifer Ramos 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 Telephone: (212) 310-8000	ESI	!					

Counsel for Pandora Media, Inc.

Dated: April 7, 2017

MAYER BROWN LLP

/s/ A. John P. Mancini

A. John P. Mancini
Xiyin Tang
1221 Avenue of Americas
New York, New York 10020-1001
Telephone: (212) 506-2295
Facsimile: (212) 262-1910
jmancini@mayerbrown.com

Counsel for Spotify USA Inc.

xtang@mayerbrown.com

Appendix A

4 (Pages 6150 to 6153)

			4 (10ges 0130 to 0135)
	Page 6150	į	Page 615?
1	JUDGE ROBERTS: All right.	1	court where you are employed?
2	MR. SMITH: The only thing we're	2	A. I am employed at Real Networks in
3	asking you to do right now is to allow	; 3	Seattle.
4	Mr. Laguarda to put Mr. Quirk off until	. 4	Q. And how long have you worked at
5	tomorrow,	5	Real Networks?
6	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: He is the	5	A. It will be seven years this coming
7	third witness in line. So you're suggesting	7	August.
8	that he would be reached before tomorrow?	8	Q. What is your current position
9	MR. SMITH: I believe that the two	9	there?
10	witnesses before him are pretty short, so we	,10	A. I am senior vice president of
11	are concerned that that would happen, Your	:11	business development.
12	Honor.	12	Q. What are your responsibilities in
13	MR. COHEN: And copyright owners	13	that position?
14	are prepared to put Mr. Faxon on this	14	A. I oversee the negotiations of our
15	afternoon, so we don't waste any part of the	15	major corporate partnerships with a principal
16	court's day.	16	focus on the music business.
17	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Okay. So the	.17	Q. If I can remind you to speak up
18	question then, as I understand it, is whether,	,18	because there is no amplification in the
19	at the end of Emmer's testimony, then DiMA	119	courtroom
20	gets charged with the rest of the afternoon,	20	A. Okay.
21	or whether we take an owners' witness out of	21	Q. What have been your prior positions
22	turn. That's the question we have to decide.	22	at Real Networks?
-	Page 6151		Pags 6153
1	MR. COHEN: Yes.	1	A. Until about one year ago I was SVP
2	MR. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor.	2	of the music business, so I had worldwide
3	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right. We	3	responsibility for our music business for
4	will address that at the next break.	4	approximately two years. For the
5	MR. LAGUARDA: Very well. Thank	5	approximately two years prior to that, I was
6	- you, Your Honor. At this time, the Digital	5	the senior vice president of our international
7	Media Association calls Daniel Sheeran.	7	business, so I was responsible for all of the
8	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Raise your	8	revenue and operations of our businesses
9	right hand.	9	outside of the United States and Canada.
20	Whereupon,	10	Before that, I ran marketing for
11	DANIEL SHEERAN,	[11	the company.
12	called for examination by counsel, and having	112	Q. And prior to being employed at Real
13	been first sworn by the Chief Judge, was	13	Networks, where were you employed?
14	examined and testified as follows:	14	A. I was employed for approximately
15	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE; Thank you.	<u>,</u> 15	eight years at a company called nCUBE and a
16	Please be seated.	16	predecessor to that company. nCUBE is a
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION	17	supplier of computer software and hardware to
18	BY MR. LAGUARDA:	18	the cable television industry.
19	Q. Good afternoon. Could you please	.19	Q. And could you give the court a
20	state your name for the record.	20	summary of your educational background?
. ~~ ~	A. My name is Daniel Sheeran.	21	A. I received a bachelor of science in
21 22	Q. Mr. Sheeran, could you tell the	22	foreign service from Georgetown University and

			J (Fages 0134 CO 0137)
	Page 6154		Page 6156
1	I received an MBA from Northwestern	1	summarize and explain that to the court?
2	University.	2	A. Yes. We need to make significant
3	MR. LAGUARDA: May I approach, Your	3	investments in developing our products,
4	Honor?	4	increasing their ability to work on other
5	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes.	5	devices so that the Rhapsody service can be
6	BY MR. LAGUARDA:	6	accessed not just on the PC. That's an area
7	Q. Mr. Sheeran, I have placed in front	7	of a tremendous amount of work for us.
8	of you what has been marked for identification	8	We need to improve the product
9	as DiMA Trial Exhibit 11. Do you have that in	9	experience on the PC because of the
10	front of you?	10	competition we face from both illegal services
11	A. Yes.	11	and other legal services.
12	Q. Can you tell us what it is?	12	We also need to invest heavily in
13	A. This is my rebuttal testimony.	13	marketing our service in order to educate
14	Q. And turning to the back, are those	14	consumers about our value proposition and our
15	the exhibits that are attached or cited in	15	brand.
16	your testimony?	16	Q. Can you explain what you mean by
17	A. Yes, they are.	17	marketing?
18	Q. Would you turn to the last numbered	18	A. We conduct several forms of
19	page of your testimony, or the last page	19	marketing. We do traditional advertising
20	following the last numbered page. Is that	20	on-line, on television and in magazines to
21	your signature?	21	raise consumer awareness of what Rhapsody is,
22	A. Yes, it is.	22	how it's different than a pure download store,
	Page 6155	1	Page 6157
1	Q. Do you have any corrections or	1	and to generate name familiarity.
2	changes to make to the testimony at this time?	2	We also conduct what's called
3	A. No, I do not.	, 3	sponsored search marketing on-line through
4	Q. Mr. Sheeran, are the contents of	4	Google and other search engines where, when
5	your written testimony, DiMA Trial Exhibit 11,	. 5	consumers are searching for music, we can let
6	true?	6	them know about Rhapsody in that highly
7	A. Yes.	7	contextual environment.
8	MR. LAGUARDA: Your Honor, I would	8	Q. Is it important to Rhapsody to make
9	move to admit DiMA Trial Exhibit 11.	9	these investments that you're describing?
10	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection	10	A. It's critical for several reasons.
11		111	In addition to the reasons that I mentioned a
12	•	112	minute ago, we're also contractually obligated
13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without	13	to do a certain level of marketing because of
14	the state of the s	14	our partnerships. A core tenet of our
15	(DiMA Trial Exhibit No. 11 was	.15	strategy is to distribute Rhapsody through
16	received into evidence.)	16	major partners like Best Buy, Verizon and
17	BY MR. LAGUARDA:	17	Comcast. And in many of those agreements the
18	Q. Mr. Sheeran, in the introductory	18	partner, in order to decide to get behind
19	part of your testimony you talk about	19	Rhapsody and make it their primary or the
20	investments that Real Networks is anticipating	20	exclusive music service that they're going to
21	to make in the subscription music business	21 22	promote, requires that we commit to a certain level of marketing spend.
	over the next several years. Can you	44	tractor marremis shenge

			6 (rages 0100 to 0101)
	Page 6158		Page 6160
1	Q. Do you believe that you could	1	BY MR. LAGUARDA:
2	postpone or defer the investments that you've	2	Q. Mr. Sheeran, are you familiar with
3	described in the next five-year period?	3	the rates proposed and the terms proposed by
4	A. No, I do not.	4	the copyright owners in this proceeding?
5	Q. Can you explain why?	5	A. Yes, I am.
6	A. Well, on the product development	6	Q. I have placed in front of you what
7	side, as I mentioned, we urgently need to	. 7	has been marked for identification as DiMA
8	enable Rhapsody beyond the PC, because we have	8	Trial Exhibit 12. Do you have that?
9	found that while the value proposition of	9	A. Yes, I do.
10	Rhapsody is very compelling for many	10	Q. Do you recognize
111		11	A. Yes.
12	consumers who have told us through interviews	12	Q. Do you recognize what is DiMA Trial
13		13	Exhibit 12?
14		14	A. Yes.
15		15	Q. Can you tell the court what it is?
16		16	A. It's a summary of the proposed
17		17	rates that the copyright holders are
18		18	proposing.
19	•	19	Q. Mr. Sheeran, do you have a view as
20	possible, by getting the service onto devices	20	to whether, if these rates were adopted by the
21	in the car, mobile phones, devices that play	21	copyright royalty judges in this proceeding,
27	music through the living room.	22	if they would have an adverse impact on Real
	Page 6159	├	Page 6161
	•	,	
1	On the marketing side, as I said,	1	Networks?
2	we need to compete with the illegal free	2	A. Yes.
3	services, make sure consumers are aware that	3	Q. Can you explain why?
4	our service is a better proposition, and	4	A. Well, the CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: I'm sorry.
11 1	easier for them, and legal. We also need to	; 5	Explain why what?
6-	- compete with the other legal services, some of	. 6 : 7	BY MR. LAGUARDA:
7	which only offer downloads.	8	Q. I'm sorry. Can you explain the
8	So if we don't explain to the	1 "	basis for your belief as to the adverse impact
9	consumer why our proposition is different and,	10	on Real Networks?
10	in some ways, better, they will not even know		MR. JOHNSON: Objection.
11	that we exist. At a time when most consumers are making their major initial commitments to	12	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: He's asking if
12	how they're going to transition from the	113	he had a belief I don't think he said he
14	historical way they've acquired music to the	14	had he said yes, but I don't think he has
15	digital world, this is a critical window for	15	told you what his belief is.
16	us to get in front of them and let them know	16	MR. LAGUARDA: I'm sorry, Your
17	who we are, both to make them commit to a	17	Honor.
16	legal approach to begin with, and to make sure		MR. JOHNSON: That was my
19	they understand our proposition in particular.	19	objection.
20	MR. LAGUARDA: Your Honor, may l		MR. LAGUARDA: I will rephrase.
21	approach?	21	BY MR. LAGUARDA:
12.4	State and the state of the stat		
22	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes, sir.	22	Q. Mr. Sheeran, can you please explain

·			
	Page 6162	_	Page 6164
1	to the court what your belief is.	1	download is 99 cents. There is tremendous
2	A. I believe the copyright holders'	, 2	pressure in the market today for retail prices
3	proposal will have a tremendously negative	3	to decrease. Amazon has come into the market
4	impact on our music business. It would be	, 4	and had lower prices on both individual tracks
5	difficult for me to see, frankly, how we would	5	and albums, on average, than iTunes and
6	ever get our music business to become	6	ourselves.
7	profitable if these rates were adopted.	7	Wal-Mart has been in the market
8	Q. In your testimony, you describe,	ı 8	with a lower-priced product and has been
9	with respect to permanent downloads, the	9	threatening to also lower their prices on
10	impact of the copyright owners' proposal. And	110	physical CDs, which would, we believe, have an
11	I would just like to ask you, Real Networks	11	impact on how much we could charge in the
12	sells permanent downloads; is that right?	12	digital world.
13	A. Yes.	!13	If we had to bring our retail price
14	Q. Can you explain to the court	14	down to 89 cents per track - which is where
15	summarize the impact of the copyright owner	15	Amazon and Wal-Mart sell many of their
16	proposal on the business of selling permanent	16	individual tracks and we had to accommodate
17	downloads for Real Networks?	17	the higher mechanical royalty, our margin
18	A. Yes. Today, our download business	18	our profit margin in downloads would become
19	has a gross margin of just under 20 percent.	,19	very close to zero.
20	That's a blend of the gross margin for our	20	Q. When you're referring to the
21	sale of a la carte tracks, individual tracks,	23	mechanical royalty, do you mean the copyright
22	as well as the margin for our sale of albums.	22	owner proposal for mechanicals?
	Page 6163	-	Page 6165
1	Today, included in that cost is	1	A. Again, I am including the copyright
2	approximately 9 percent that is paid	2	owners' as well as an additional small amount
3	ultimately to the copyright holders by the	· 3	that comes in through the ASCAP ruling. Even
4	labels for the mechanical royalties.	4	the copyright owners' proposal alone would be
5	The proposal for the combination	. 5	6 percent would be 6 cents, from 9 to 15.
6	of the impact of the copyright holders'	6	If we have 20 to start with so that alone
7	proposal, which is for 15 percent, as well as	. 7	would be 6 out of the 20.
8	the recent ruling in a federal court in the	. 8	And then, if we also had to reduce
9	ASCAP case, would cause our total cost for	9	our retail prices, which we may well have to
10	mechanicals to go to somewhere around	10	because of what's happening in the
11	18 percent. So that would take our margin	11	marketplace, that would get us down to 2 to
12	from 20 percent down to 12 percent, so	12	3 percent [sic] in total. And then, if the
13	approximately a 40 percent impact in the gross	4	ASCAP ruling stands as is, it would go close
14	margin of our download business, which is	14	to zero.
15	obviously a tremendous impact.	15	Q. Let me ask you about the
16	Q. And do you have an understanding,	16	subscription part of your business. With
17	while that is happening, in terms of prices in	17	respect to the impact of the copyright owner
18	the market for permanent downloads, what the	18	proposal, do you have an understanding as to
19	trend is for prices to the consumer?	19	what the impact of the copyright owner
20	A. Yes. What I was just summarizing	-20	proposal for conditional downloads and
21	was based on relail prices that we utilize	21	interactive streaming would be on your
22	loday. Our average price for a retail	22	subscription music business?
			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

			6 (rages 0100 to 0109)
	Page 6166		Page 6168
1	A. Yes, I do.	1	were counting on being able to grow the
2	Q. Can you tell the court what that	2	business rapidly to try to get to break-even.
3	is?	3	Q. I want to talk about that - or get
4	A. It would be a it would also be a	4	your testimony about that in a minute, but I
5	very dramatic impact. The blended margin of	. 5	want to ask you first, do you have an
6	our subscription business is about 37 percent	6	understanding as to what you are paying record
7	gross margin. And the copyright holders'	7	labels for sound recording royalties for
8	proposal I should back up and be clear.	8	Rhapsody?
9	When I say blended, that's the	g	A. Yes, I do
10	blend of the Rhapsody Unlimited product we	10	Q. And is it a lot more than what the
11	have today, which is a PC-only product, and	11	copyright owners are proposing in this
12	our Rhapsody to Go product, which allows you	12	proceeding?
13	to take conditional downloads off the PC onto	13	A. It's more. I don't know whether I
14	portable devices.	14	would characterize it as a lot more, but it's
15		15	certainly more, yes.
16	two, if you factor in which percentage of our	16	Q. Do you have a sense as to whether
17	subscribers are subscribing to each of those	17	or not it would be fair to make a comparison
18	products, is around 37 percent. Today, the	18	between what you pay the record labels and
19		19	what the copyright owners are proposing?
20	mechanical rate that we have been - utilizes	20	MR. JOHNSON: Objection to leading,
21	an assumption about publishing costs that we	21	Your Honor.
22	have been using for several years. It was	22	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Sustained.
	wid. wy biologiai a t with a state of with a biological property and the state of t	4	- The state of the
	Page 6167	:	Page 6169
	Page 6167	•	Page 6169
1	around 9 to 9-1/2 and then went up to	1	BY MR. LAGUARDA:
2	around 9 to 9-1/2 and then went up to 10 percent about a year ago, I think is when	1 2	BY MR. LAGUARDA: Q. You said you had an understanding
2	around 9 to 9-1/2 and then went up to 10 percent about a year ago, I think is when we made the shift to 10 percent.	1 2 3	BY MR. LAGUARDA: Q. You said you had an understanding as to what record labels charge for sound
2 3 4	around 9 to 9-1/2 and then went up to 10 percent about a year ago, I think is when we made the shift to 10 percent. The copyright holders' proposal for	1 2 3	BY MR. LAGUARDA: Q. You said you had an understanding as to what record labels charge for sound recordings; is that right?
2 3 4 5	around 9 to 9-1/2 and then went up to 10 percent about a year ago, I think is when we made the shift to 10 percent. The copyright holders' proposal for streaming is 12-1/2 percent, on top of which,	1 2 3 4 5	BY MR. LAGUARDA: Q. You said you had an understanding as to what record labels charge for sound recordings; is that right? A. Yes, I do.
2 3 4 5 6	around 9 to 9-1/2 and then went up to 10 percent about a year ago, I think is when we made the shift to 10 percent. The copyright holders' proposal for streaming is 12-1/2 percent, on top of which, again, we have to pay FROs, which is going to	1 2 3 4 5	BY MR. LAGUARDA: Q. You said you had an understanding as to what record labels charge for sound recordings; is that right? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is there a problem, in your view,
2 3 4 5 6 7	around 9 to 9-1/2 and then went up to 10 percent about a year ago, I think is when we made the shift to 10 percent. The copyright holders' proposal for streaming is 12-1/2 percent, on top of which, again, we have to pay PROs, which is going to add another roughly 5 percent. So it would	1 2 3 4 5	BY MR. LAGUARDA: Q. You said you had an understanding as to what record labels charge for sound recordings; is that right? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is there a problem, in your view, as to — paying copyright owners a higher
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	around 9 to 9-1/2 and then went up to 10 percent about a year ago, I think is when we made the shift to 10 percent. The copyright holders' proposal for streaming is 12-1/2 percent, on top of which, again, we have to pay PROs, which is going to add another roughly 5 percent. So it would take what we have been assuming the rate would	1 2 3 4 5 4 7	BY MR. LAGUARDA: Q. You said you had an understanding as to what record labels charge for sound recordings; is that right? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is there a problem, in your view, as to — paying copyright owners a higher rate, given what you pay record labels?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	around 9 to 9-1/2 and then went up to 10 percent about a year ago, 1 think is when we made the shift to 10 percent. The copyright holders' proposal for streaming is 12-1/2 percent, on top of which, again, we have to pay PROs, which is going to add another roughly 5 percent. So it would take what we have been assuming the rate would be, between 9-1/2 and 10 percent, and bring it	1 2 3 4 5 4 5	BY MR. LAGUARDA: Q. You said you had an understanding as to what record labels charge for sound recordings; is that right? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is there a problem, in your view, as to — paying copyright owners a higher rate, given what you pay record labels? MR. JOHNSON: Objection to form,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	around 9 to 9-1/2 and then went up to 10 percent about a year ago, 1 think is when we made the shift to 10 percent. The copyright holders' proposal for streaming is 12-1/2 percent, on top of which, again, we have to pay PROs, which is going to add another roughly 5 percent. So it would take what we have been assuming the rate would be, between 9-1/2 and 10 percent, and bring it up by an additional 7 to 8 percent.	1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9	BY MR. LAGUARDA: Q. You said you had an understanding as to what record labels charge for sound recordings; is that right? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is there a problem, in your view, as to — paying copyright owners a higher rate, given what you pay record labels? MR. JOHNSON: Objection to form, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	around 9 to 9-1/2 and then went up to 10 percent about a year ago, 1 think is when we made the shift to 10 percent. The copyright holders' proposal for streaming is 12-1/2 percent, on top of which, again, we have to pay FROs, which is going to add another roughly 5 percent. So it would take what we have been assuming the rate would be, between 9-1/2 and 10 percent, and bring it up by an additional 7 to 8 percent. Because we're only starting out	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. LAGUARDA: Q. You said you had an understanding as to what record labels charge for sound recordings; is that right? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is there a problem, in your view, as to — paying copyright owners a higher rate, given what you pay record labels? MR. JOHNSON: Objection to form, Your Honor, CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	around 9 to 9-1/2 and then went up to 10 percent about a year ago, I think is when we made the shift to 10 percent. The copyright holders' proposal for streaming is 12-1/2 percent, on top of which, again, we have to pay PROs, which is going to add another roughly 5 percent. So it would take what we have been assuming the rate would be, between 9-1/2 and 10 percent, and bring it up by an additional 7 to 8 percent. Because we're only starting out with 36, 37 percent, it, too, is a very	1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. LAGUARDA: Q. You said you had an understanding as to what record labels charge for sound recordings; is that right? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is there a problem, in your view, as to — paying copyright owners a higher rate, given what you pay record labels? MR. JOHNSON: Objection to form, Your Honor, CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled, THE WITNESS: Well, I would go back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	around 9 to 9-1/2 and then went up to 10 percent about a year ago, I think is when we made the shift to 10 percent. The copyright holders' proposal for streaming is 12-1/2 percent, on top of which, again, we have to pay PROs, which is going to add another roughly 5 percent. So it would take what we have been assuming the rate would be, between 9-1/2 and 10 percent, and bring it up by an additional 7 to 8 percent. Because we're only starting out with 36, 37 percent, it, too, is a very significant impact. It's around a 21 percent	1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. LAGUARDA: Q. You said you had an understanding as to what record labels charge for sound recordings; is that right? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is there a problem, in your view, as to — paying copyright owners a higher rate, given what you pay record labels? MR. JOHNSON: Objection to form, Your Honor. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled, THE WITNESS: Well, I would go back to my prior answer. The challenge we face—
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	around 9 to 9-1/2 and then went up to 10 percent about a year ago, 1 think is when we made the shift to 10 percent. The copyright holders' proposal for streaming is 12-1/2 percent, on top of which, again, we have to pay PROs, which is going to add another roughly 5 percent. So it would take what we have been assuming the rate would be, between 9-1/2 and 10 percent, and bring it up by an additional 7 to 8 percent. Because we're only starting out with 36, 37 percent, it, too, is a very significant impact. It's around a 21 percent hit to our gross margin. So it's not as	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. LAGUARDA: Q. You said you had an understanding as to what record labels charge for sound recordings; is that right? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is there a problem, in your view, as to — paying copyright owners a higher rate, given what you pay record labels? MR. JOHNSON: Objection to form, Your Honor, CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled, THE WITNESS: Well, I would go back to my prior answer. The challenge we face—yes, we pay the labels a lot of money, on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	around 9 to 9-1/2 and then went up to 10 percent about a year ago, 1 think is when we made the shift to 10 percent. The copyright holders' proposal for streaming is 12-1/2 percent, on top of which, again, we have to pay PROs, which is going to add another roughly 5 percent. So it would take what we have been assuming the rate would be, between 9-1/2 and 10 percent, and bring it up by an additional 7 to 8 percent. Because we're only starting out with 36, 37 percent, it, too, is a very significant impact. It's around a 21 percent hit to our gross margin. So it's not as severe as downloads, but it's still very	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. LAGUARDA: Q. You said you had an understanding as to what record labels charge for sound recordings; is that right? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is there a problem, in your view, as to — paying copyright owners a higher rate, given what you pay record labels? MR. JOHNSON: Objection to form, Your Honor. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled. THE WITNESS: Well, I would go back to my prior answer. The challenge we face—yes, we pay the labels a lot of money, on absolute terms and on a percentage term.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	around 9 to 9-1/2 and then went up to 10 percent about a year ago, 1 think is when we made the shift to 10 percent. The copyright holders' proposal for streaming is 12-1/2 percent, on top of which, again, we have to pay FROs, which is going to add another roughly 5 percent. So it would take what we have been assuming the rate would be, between 9-1/2 and 10 percent, and bring it up by an additional 7 to 8 percent. Because we're only starting out with 36, 37 percent, it, too, is a very significant impact. It's around a 21 percent hit to our gross margin. So it's not as severe as downloads, but it's still very significant to us,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. LAGUARDA: Q. You said you had an understanding as to what record labels charge for sound recordings; is that right? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is there a problem, in your view, as to — paying copyright owners a higher rate, given what you pay record labels? MR. JOHNSON: Objection to form, Your Honor, CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled. THE WITNESS: Well, I would go back to my prior answer. The challenge we face—yes, we pay the labels a lot of money, on absolute terms and on a percentage term. There is no question about that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	around 9 to 9-1/2 and then went up to 10 percent about a year ago, I think is when we made the shift to 10 percent. The copyright holders' proposal for streaming is 12-1/2 percent, on top of which, again, we have to pay FROs, which is going to add another roughly 5 percent. So it would take what we have been assuming the rate would be, between 9-1/2 and 10 percent, and bring it up by an additional 7 to 8 percent. Because we're only starting out with 36, 37 percent, it, too, is a very significant impact. It's around a 21 percent hit to our gross margin. So it's not as severe as downloads, but it's still very significant to us, And I would also note that the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. LAGUARDA: Q. You said you had an understanding as to what record labels charge for sound recordings; is that right? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is there a problem, in your view, as to — paying copyright owners a higher rate, given what you pay record labels? MR. JOHNSON: Objection to form, Your Honor, CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled, THE WITNESS: Well, I would go back to my prior answer. The challenge we face—yes, we pay the labels a lot of money, on absolute terms and on a percentage term. There is no question about that. The challenge we face is that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	around 9 to 9-1/2 and then went up to 10 percent about a year ago, I think is when we made the shift to 10 percent. The copyright holders' proposal for streaming is 12-1/2 percent, on top of which, again, we have to pay PROs, which is going to add another roughly 5 percent. So it would take what we have been assuming the rate would be, between 9-1/2 and 10 percent, and bring it up by an additional 7 to 8 percent. Because we're only starting out with 36, 37 percent, it, too, is a very significant impact. It's around a 21 percent hit to our gross margin. So it's not as severe as downloads, but it's still very significant to us, And 1 would also note that the downloads and the subscription services,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. LAGUARDA: Q. You said you had an understanding as to what record labels charge for sound recordings; is that right? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is there a problem, in your view, as to — paying copyright owners a higher rate, given what you pay record labels? MR. JOHNSON: Objection to form, Your Honor, CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled, THE WITNESS: Well, I would go back to my prior answer. The challenge we face—yes, we pay the labels a lot of money, on absolute terms and on a percentage term. There is no question about that. The challenge we face is that's something we have no control over. We cannot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	around 9 to 9-1/2 and then went up to 10 percent about a year ago, I think is when we made the shift to 10 percent. The copyright holders' proposal for streaming is 12-1/2 percent, on top of which, again, we have to pay PROs, which is going to add another roughly 5 percent. So it would take what we have been assuming the rate would be, between 9-1/2 and 10 percent, and bring it up by an additional 7 to 8 percent. Because we're only starting out with 36, 37 percent, it, too, is a very significant impact. It's around a 21 percent hit to our gross margin. So it's not as severe as downloads, but it's still very significant to us. And I would also note that the downloads and the subscription services, particularly the to Go one, which already has	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MR. LAGUARDA: Q. You said you had an understanding as to what record labels charge for sound recordings; is that right? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is there a problem, in your view, as to — paying copyright owners a higher rate, given what you pay record labels? MR. JOHNSON: Objection to form, Your Honor. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled. THE WITNESS: Well, I would go back to my prior answer. The challenge we face—yes, we pay the labels a lot of money, on absolute terms and on a percentage term. There is no question about that. The challenge we face is that's something we have no control over. We cannot go back to them and say, well, we don't like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	around 9 to 9-1/2 and then went up to 10 percent about a year ago, 1 think is when we made the shift to 10 percent. The copyright holders' proposal for streaming is 12-1/2 percent, on top of which, again, we have to pay PROs, which is going to add another roughly 5 percent. So it would take what we have been assuming the rate would be, between 9-1/2 and 10 percent, and bring it up by an additional 7 to 8 percent. Because we're only starting out with 36, 37 percent, it, too, is a very significant impact. It's around a 21 percent hit to our gross margin. So it's not as severe as downloads, but it's still very significant to us, And 1 would also note that the downloads and the subscription services, particularly the to Go one, which already has a more difficult gross margin, are the two	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. LAGUARDA: Q. You said you had an understanding as to what record labels charge for sound recordings; is that right? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is there a problem, in your view, as to - paying copyright owners a higher rate, given what you pay record labels? MR. JOHNSON: Objection to form, Your Honor, CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled, THE WITNESS: Well, I would go back to my prior answer. The challenge we face - yes, we pay the labels a lot of money, on absolute terms and on a percentage term. There is no question about that. The challenge we face is that's something we have no control over. We cannot go back to them and say, well, we don't like your rate and, therefore, we're just not going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	around 9 to 9-1/2 and then went up to 10 percent about a year ago, I think is when we made the shift to 10 percent. The copyright holders' proposal for streaming is 12-1/2 percent, on top of which, again, we have to pay PROs, which is going to add another roughly 5 percent. So it would take what we have been assuming the rate would be, between 9-1/2 and 10 percent, and bring it up by an additional 7 to 8 percent. Because we're only starting out with 36, 37 percent, it, too, is a very significant impact. It's around a 21 percent hit to our gross margin. So it's not as severe as downloads, but it's still very significant to us. And I would also note that the downloads and the subscription services, particularly the to Go one, which already has	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MR. LAGUARDA: Q. You said you had an understanding as to what record labels charge for sound recordings; is that right? A. Yes, I do. Q. Is there a problem, in your view, as to — paying copyright owners a higher rate, given what you pay record labels? MR. JOHNSON: Objection to form, Your Honor. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled. THE WITNESS: Well, I would go back to my prior answer. The challenge we face—yes, we pay the labels a lot of money, on absolute terms and on a percentage term. There is no question about that. The challenge we face is that's something we have no control over. We cannot go back to them and say, well, we don't like

9 (Pages 6170 to 6173)

			3 (1dgcb 02/0 co 01/5)
	Page 6170		Page 6172
1	uncompetitive in the market if it doesn't have	1	services has slowed in the past two years. We
2	a broad range of content. And any one of the	2	have done a tremendous amount of price testing
3	majors has a sufficient market share of the	3	to figure out what is the right price that
4	popular content that they can essentially	4	will allow us to grow the market. And we
5	demand that we pay these higher rates with the	5	found two answers to that.
6	structures that we've agreed to.	6	One is the a la carte price needs
7	We have never felt it was the right	7	to be quite a bit lower. And the second
8	thing, either for our business or, frankly,	8	answer is by far the most effective way to
9	for the growth of the market there is a	9	grow the subscription part of the market would
10		10	be to bundle the product in with something
111	hopeful about, but just to stick to the world	11	else the consumer is already buying, such as
12		12	their high-speed internet service or their
13	The state of the s	13	mobile phone service, if they're getting a
14		14	data plan from their mobile phone carrier.
15	Q. Do you believe that you could raise	15	In order for us to have any success
16	· · ·	16	with that strategy, which is really the
17		17	linchpin of our growth strategy for our
18		18	subscription business, we need lower costs.
19	Q. Why is that?	19	If we attempted to pass on higher
20	A. Well, I need to answer that both in	30	costs in our negotiations with our partners,
21		21	the cable operators, the mobile operators, we
22	downloads and subscription services that	22	would be that much farther away from success.
			the same of the sa
١.	Page 6171		Page 6173
1	include limited downloads. In the case	1	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: You said lower
2	Q. Let's start with permanent	2	costs. Do you mean lower price?
3	downloads.	. 3	THE WITNESS: If we had higher
4	A. In the case of permanent downloads,	' 4 ! ~	costs because of higher mechanical rates, we
5	as I mentioned earlier, all the pressure in	5	would not be able to pass them on either in
6	the market is actually in the opposite	; 6	our consumer-facing a la carte business or in
7	direction. We are frequently reconsidering	7	the relationships we have with the carriers
8	whether we can even maintain our current	8	where we're trying to get them to bundle
9	price, given that Amazon came in with lower	9	Rhapsody. And we have just spent much of
10	prices in the marketplace. In the case of and there have	10	the last year, actually, in negotiations with
11	-	11 12	one of the largest carriers in the United States, that I'd prefer not to identify by
12	been back on downloads, there have been	ĭ	· •
13	attempts in the past by others to raise prices	13	name, on precisely this issue. What does the
14	where they have been retreated. You know,	14 15	cost need to be our I'm sorry: What does our price to them need to be? What does
15 16	iTunes was trying to get a higher price for	16	the cost need to become to them such that they
17	EMI's tracks and, you know, it looks like that's not going to be the long-term strategy	17	would then their proposition could become
18		18	for the customer, if you sign up for our
19	that they stick with. So in the case of the subscription	19	service, it comes with Rhapsody?
20	services, we are confident that lower pricing	20	And we know that price is well
ł		21	under the retail price today for our service.
171		· sin un	which die leigh blice waay tol bul schyle.
21 22		22	So we have spent much of the past

10 (Pages 6174 to 6177)

		10 (rages 61/4 to 61//)
	Page 6174	Page 6176
1	year actually going back to our suppliers and	So no matter whether you're paying
2	trying to get them to cut the price in	
3	exchange for our growing the market much more	——————————————————————————————————————
4	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	start with.
5		Q. Mr. Sheeran, based on your
б		testimony, do you believe that Real Networks
7	· ·	would enter the subscription digital music
В		business today if the copyright owner rates
9		9 were adopted?
10	And that's the reason why, in my	•
11	written testimony, I point out the example of	
12	the cable industry took off 20, 30 years ago 12	•
13	because they were able to get costs for their 13	•
14	services low enough and bundle them in a way	
15	that, to the consumer, it was just one simple,	
16	easy decision. Had they had to go	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
17	a la carte — and each of the services was	
18	very expensive, north of \$10 we wouldn't	- <i>*</i>
19	have the cable television industry that we	•
20	have today.	•
21	BY MR. LAGUARDA:	~
22	Q. Mr. Sheeran, has the subscription 2:	
	Page 6175	Page 6177
1	music business Rhapsody been profitable to	1 investments and we needed to build everything
2		2 from scratch. I mean, I think that's
3		3 probably enough.
4	Q. How would you define profitability?	4 Q.: Let merask you, are you familiar
5		5 with DiMA's proposed amended proposed rates
.6		6 and terms in this proceeding?
7	- ·	7 A. Yes, lam.
8		8 MR. LAGUARDA: May I approach, Your
9	• •	4 Honor?
10	on a cash flow basis.	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes.
11	Q. Defined any of those ways, do you	1 BY MR. LAGUARDA:
12	believe that the copyright owner proposal, if 1	
13		3 for identification as DiMA Trial Exhibit 13.
14		4 Do you have that in front of you?
15	Q. Why is that?	5 A. Yes, I do.
16	A. Well, the economics that I walked	6 Q. Can you tell the court what it is?
17	through earlier today regarding the gross 1	7 A. This is the proposal from DiMA for
1	•	8 the new rates, as well as the terms used in
18		
18 19	part of the income statement, so it doesn't	.9 those rates.
ı	part of the income statement, so it doesn't matter what's happening down below; you've .2	
19		Q If I could just turn your attention

r			11 (10900 0170 00 01017
	Page 6178	Ì	Page 6180
1	A. Yes, I do.	1	Q. Can you tell the court?
2	Q. Can you explain for the court the	2	A. Yes, we would.
3	rationale behind the definition of the	3	Q. And what about with respect to
4	applicable receipts?	4	tracking music usage, as DiMA proposes? Do
5	A. Well, the goal is to keep it	5	you have an understanding as to whether Real
6	simple, to implement this approach and also to	6	Networks could do that, track plays and sales
7	encourage experimentation in business models.	7	of downloads?
8	We're still fairly early in the transition to	8	A. Yes.
9	digital. We all need the market to grow more	9	Q. And can you tell the court?
10	quickly than it has, and we believe that the	,10	A. Yes, we could.
11	way to encourage that is through rates that do	11	Q. One of the things you mentioned in
12	not impose constraints that would prevent	12	discussing the DiMA proposal is the use of
13	either an existing business from trying	13	minima. If I could ask you, for example, if
14	something new or a potential business from	14	permanent downloads were bundled with a device
15		15	like a phone, do you have an understanding as
16	So we have tried to limit the	:16	to how the DiMA rate proposal would work in
17	impact of things like minima, which we	177	that case?
18	think we have included to an extent, but we	.18	A. Yes, I do.
19	think can be dangerous because they can crowd	19	Q. Can you tell the court?
20	out certain business opportunities.	20	A. Would the downloads be permanent or
21	We have also tried to be clear that	21	limited downloads?
22	there should not be a tax on other companies	55	Q. Permanent downloads.
_	Page 6179	•	Page 6181
1	that might be involved in a service that would	1	A. In the case of permanent downloads,
2	then get involved with digital music but are	2	then the minimums would apply, and because
3	not themselves the distributor of music, you	3	there's multiple downloads that are being
4	know, be that the manufacturer of an MP3	4	bundled, it would be the I believe it's
5	player, or a carrier, unless they themselves	5	3.3 cents per track rate.
6	were directly offering a service, you know,	6	Q. And what if a subscription service
7	clearly separate from the other product.	7	was bundled with a device? Do you have an
8	And we think that's important	8	understanding as to how the DiMA proposal
9	because we have been involved in many	9	would work?
0 E	negotiations with companies in those sectors	10	A. Yes. Then, again, the minimum for
11	who, at the mere mention of a tax in their	11	that would apply, which would be the
12	business, decide that it's just not worth	12	13.5 cents per subscriber per month,
13	it's just not worth the risk to them because	13	Q. And if there is no monthly
13 14	it's just not worth the risk to them because their business is complicated enough as it is.	13 14	Q. And if there is no monthly subscription fee, is there a mechanism in the
13 14 15	it's just not worth the risk to them because their business is complicated enough as it is. Q. By "a tax" do you mean t-a-x?	13 14 15	Q. And if there is no monthly subscription fee, is there a mechanism in the DiMA proposal for that?
13 14 15 16	it's just not worth the risk to them because their business is complicated enough as it is. Q. By "a tax" do you mean t-a-x? A. I meant t-a-x, yes.	13 14 15 16	Q. And if there is no monthly subscription fee, is there a mechanism in the DiMA proposal for that? A. Yes. That would be the usage fee,
13 14 15 16 17	it's just not worth the risk to them because their business is complicated enough as it is. Q. By "a tax" do you mean t-a-x? A. I meant t-a-x, yes. Q. Just to be clear.	13 14 15 16 17	Q. And if there is no monthly subscription fee, is there a mechanism in the DiMA proposal for that? A. Yes. That would be the usage fee, which is .00129 dollars per play.
13 14 15 16 17 18	it's just not worth the risk to them because their business is complicated enough as it is. Q. By "a tax" do you mean t-a-x? A. I meant t-a-x, yes. Q. Just to be clear. Do you have an understanding as to	13 14 15 16 17	Q. And if there is no monthly subscription fee, is there a mechanism in the DiMA proposal for that? A. Yes. That would be the usage fee, which is .00129 dollars per play. Q. Could you explain to the court the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	it's just not worth the risk to them because their business is complicated enough as it is. Q. By "a tax" do you mean t-a-x? A. I meant t-a-x, yes. Q. Just to be clear. Do you have an understanding as to whether Real Networks would be able to track	13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And if there is no monthly subscription fee, is there a mechanism in the DiMA proposal for that? A. Yes. That would be the usage fee, which is .00129 dollars per play. Q. Could you explain to the court the purpose of the minima that DiMA is proposing?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	it's just not worth the risk to them because their business is complicated enough as it is. Q. By "a tax" do you mean t-a-x? A. I meant t-a-x, yes. Q. Just to be clear. Do you have an understanding as to whether Real Networks would be able to track revenues the way that DiMA has proposed in	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And if there is no monthly subscription fee, is there a mechanism in the DiMA proposal for that? A. Yes. That would be the usage fee, which is ,00129 dollars per play. Q. Could you explain to the court the purpose of the minima that DiMA is proposing? A. The purpose is to make sure that
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	it's just not worth the risk to them because their business is complicated enough as it is. Q. By "a tax" do you mean t-a-x? A. I meant t-a-x, yes. Q. Just to be clear. Do you have an understanding as to whether Real Networks would be able to track	13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And if there is no monthly subscription fee, is there a mechanism in the DiMA proposal for that? A. Yes. That would be the usage fee, which is .00129 dollars per play. Q. Could you explain to the court the purpose of the minima that DiMA is proposing?

ţ			12 (Pages 6162 to 6165)
	Page 6182		Page 6184
1	and it takes off, we want to make sure that	1:	* CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Questions by
2	everyone who contributed value to that gets	2	RIAA?
3	paid. It is not intended but that's all	3	MR. SMITH: No thank you, Your
4	it's intended to be. It's not intended to be	4	Honor.
5	a way that everybody is going to get a	5	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Cross-examme.
6	guaranteed return.	6	MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor.
7	Again, we're so early in this	7:	Good afternoon.
8	market that we simply can't know which models	8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	are going to take off. So we think it serves	9	BY MR. JOHNSON:
10	that purpose without crowding out at least the	10	Q. Good aflemoon, Mr. Sheeran. My
11	business models that we can see.	111	name is Jeh Johnson. I represent the
12	We are familiar with consumer usage	12	copyright owners.
13	rates on these products. And minima at higher	13	A. Good afternoon.
14	rates we have seen cases where they could	14	Q. Timothy Quirk, I assume you know
15	blow up the model before we even got started.	15	him, right?
16	Q. What's your understanding of the	16	A. Yes, 1 do.
17	impact of the minima proposed by the copyright	17	Q. He is your colleague at Real
18	owners?	18	Networks?
19	A. Well, that's an example of where I	19	A. Yes, he is:
20	think it could blow up an opportunity before	20	Q. And you're aware that he testified
21	we got started. I mentioned earlier that	121	in this proceeding in the month of February,
22	the bundling our service with a carrier	22	conect?
	and the second section of the section of t		المريايا
	Page 6183		Page 6181
1	service, be it high-speed data from a cable or	1	A. Yes.
2	phone company or your mobile provider, is a	2	Q. And what is his title with Real
3	linchpin of our growth strategy.	3	Networks?
4	We know that we must get the price	4	A. I believe he is vice president of
5	to the carrier of such an offering	. 5	music programming. If it's not that, it's
6	substantially below where it is today. And we	6	something very close to that.
7	know that based on the number of plays per	1 7	Q. Now, did anybody tell you what he
8	user that we see today on portable devices,	8	said in this proceeding?
9	that the rates proposed by the copyright	9	A. I have had a chance to review some
10	holders would turn the payment for mechanicals	10	of his testimony, not all of it.
11	into a very significant percentage of the	11	Q. You read Mr. Quirk's testimony?
12	total available for us to pay - that we would	12	A. A small amount of it.
13	be able to collect from the carrier.	13	Q. Did you read his cross-examination?
14	We would still need to then find,	14	A. I think I read a few exchanges, but
15	from that, enough money to pay the labels as	15	not the whole thing.
16	well as additional money for us to cover our	16	Q. Okay. Now, could you look at your
17	own operating costs and get any kind of profit	17	written statement, DiMA Exhibit 11, please?
18	margin,	18	And I want to spend some time with you on
19	So it would really render all of	19	paragraphs 6, 7 and 15 of your written
20	those types of efforts largely useless.	20	statement and try to get behind some of the
21	MR. LAGUARDA: No funher	21	numbers here.
22	questions. Thank you.	22	Let's focus on paragraph 6, please,

Page 6188 our company has money to pay ese companies orrect? ling in this t of companies, the market because
money to pay ese companies orrect? ling in this t of companies, the market because
money to pay ese companies orrect? ling in this t of companies, the market because
ese companies orrect? ling in this t of companies, the market because
brrect? ling in this t of companies, the market because
ling in this t of companies, the market because
t of companies, the market because
t of companies, the market because
he market because
the market because
um?
/ vui
hat the
the answer is
part of the
er is yes.
ent, by
aggest that
e primary reason
of content
any of these
cost of
cant part of the
Page 6189
ntent.
e sound recording
o sound root ding
t to that also.
count's decision
out a year ago, by
cts. I have not
the role of this
ient market actor?
estion?
our question. No,
ourt.
ending that if a
market actor, this
chanical rate in
chanical rate in ry in the business,

14 (Pages 6190 to 6193)

			14 (Pages 6190 to 6193)
	Page 6190	·	Page 6192
1	marked as Copyright Owners' Exhibit 280 for	1	Q. Okay, And if you look at the very
2	identification.	2	top line, net revenue by the way, when I
3	MR. JOHNSON: May I approach, Your	3	see the number net revenue, it's net of
4	Honor?	4	something. What is not revenue not of?
1	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes.	5	A. Typically, that would be net of
5		i	- *
6	BY MR. JOHNSON:	6	items that are immediately credited back to
7	Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 280 for	7	the consumer. It's a small number, the
8	identification?	8	difference between net and gross. It's going
9	A. Yes.	9	to be, I think, 1 to 2 percent, typically,
10	Q. And this is the 10-K and annual	10	certainly for the music business, which is
11	report for your company, Real Networks, for	11	where I am familiar with the difference.
12	the year 2007, correct?	12	Q. And if you look at the net revenue
13	A. Yes.	13	number for the year 2005, you will see 325
14	Q. And when was this released?	14	million; is that correct?
15	A. Very recently I think it was	:15	i Ai. Yes. i i i i i i i
16	released. Within the last handful of weeks.	16	Q. And if you look at the net revenue
17		17	number for 2006, you will see that the number
18	A. Within the last handful of weeks.	18	rose by about 20, 25 percent, correct?
19	Q. Okay. I believe, if you look at	19	A. Yes.
20	somewhere toward the back	20	Q. To 395 million in 2006?
21	A. It was filed on February on	21	A. Yes.
22	2/29.	22	Q. And if you look at 2007, you will
-	يو يو په ا ين يو په اين اين دو په اين دو پ		ا ۱۰ مود مود مود به
	Page 6191		Page 6193
1	Q. Okay. Let's take that date. And	1	see that the number rose by about 50 percent.
2	you recognize this document, correct?	2	if my math is correct, to 567 million in the
3	A. Yes.	3	year 2007, the net revenue number.
4	MR. JOHNSON: 1 offer Exhibit 280	4	A. Yes.
5	in evidence.	5	Q. All right. And the number in 2004
6	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection	6	was lower than the number in 2005. Do you
7	to Exhibit 280?	, 7	remember that?
8	MR. LAGUARDA: No objection.	8	A. I do not know our 2004 revenue off
9	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without	9	the top of my head, no.
10	objection, it's admitted.	10	Q. You don't know that offhand?
11	(Copyright Owners' Trial Exhibit	11	A. No.
12	No. 280 was received into evidence.)	12	Q. Okay. Now, if you look at the
13	BY MR. JOHNSON:	.13	entries under operating expenses, you will see
14	Q. Mr. Sheeran, could you please look	.14	that they're also rising as well, right?
15	at page 52 of this exhibit? It's not	15	A. Yes.
16	numbered, but it's right after page 51.	16	Q. 2005, research and development, 70
17	A. My page 52 is numbered. Is that	17	million. 2006, 77 million. 2007, 102
18	the right page, the consolidated statement of	18	million.
19	operations, comprehensive income is that	19	And then if you look at the sales
20	Q. Yes. And these are company-wide	20	and marketing entries for 2005, it's 130
21	numbers, correct?	.21	million. For 2006, 165 million. And then in
22	A. Yes.	22	2007, the number goes up by over 40 million to

15 (Pages 6194 to 6197)

<u> </u>	D C104	Ţ	20 (2090) 0251 00 0251
	Page 6194		Fage 6196
1	209 million. Do you see that?	1	A. Yes.
2	A. Yes.	2	Q. By a substantial amount? It
3	Q. Now, I heard what you said this	3	depends on how you describe [sic] substantial,
4	morning about your contractual obligations	4	but would you agree with me that the number is
5	with regard to sales and marketing. You're	5	going up each and every quarter for eight
6	not telling this court that you're	6	consecutive quarters?
7	contractually obligated to spend these kinds	7	A. I'm sorry. Which page am I
8	of dollars every year at this level of	8	supposed to be looking at?
9	increase, are you?	9	Q. I am looking at the total net
10	A. No.	10	revenue line under supplemental financial
11	Q. You're not contending that you're	11	information.
12	handcuffed to spend \$209 million in 2007 on	12	A. Are we in the first page of
13	sales and marketing?	13	Q. First quarter '06 is 86 million.
14	A. That's correct.	14	Second quarter, 89. Third quarter, 93.
15	Q. All right. Now, are you contending	15	Fourth quarter, 125.
16	that if the court were to impose a 15 percent	16	Then into '07, 129, 136, 145
17	mechanical rate or a 15 cent mechanical	17	A. Can you just tell me which page I'm
18	rate for mechanical royalties, that you	18	supposed to be looking at
19	couldn't find that additional amount somewhere	19	Q. I'm sorry.
20	else in this balance sheet, in this statement?	20	A. — in the appendix?
21	A. I am contending that it would be	21	MR. JOHNSON: I'm sorry. May I
22	economically irrational for us to continue	22	approach?
	Page 6195		Page 6197
1	focusing on a business where we have	, 1	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Well, all of
2	zero percent gross margins.	2	us want to know that.
3	Q. You couldn't squeeze that number	3	MR. JOHNSON: I'm sorry.
4	out of some of these other numbers in the	i 3	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: You referred
5	hundreds of millions?	5	us to Exhibit A, and the first page of
6	A. I couldn't make it up in volume if	6	Exhibit A doesn't have anything that you are
7	I'm not making any profit on each transaction.	7	talking about.
8	Q. Well, let's look at Exhibit A to	8	MR. JOHNSON: I'm sorry. This
9	your statement, please. Exhibit A is a -	9	would be the on Exhibit A, this would be
10	this is a public document, right?	10	the fourth page, entitled, "Real Networks,
11	A. Yes, it is.	11	Inc., and subsidiaries - supplemental
12	Q. Okay. And was this prepared for	12	financial information."
13	this litigation or was it prepared in the	13	BY MR. JOHNSON:
14	normal course of business?	14	Q. Do you see that?
15	A. Normal course of business.	15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Okay. And if you look at the top	16	Q. Okay. And do you see the quarterly
17	entries, net revenue, you will see entries	17	reporting here?
18	there by quarter going back to 2006, then	18	A. Yes.
19	2007. And would you agree with me that the	[;] 19	Q. Okay. Now, if you look at the
20		20	fourth line down, total net revenue, would you
21	these eight consecutive quarters is rising	21	agree with me that for eight consecutive
22	every quarter?	22	quarters that number has gone up?
<u> </u>	<u> </u>		

16 (Pages 6198 to 6201)

			TO (rages of so co ozof)
	Page 6198		Page 6200
1	A. Yes.	1	from, right?
2	Q. Now, if you look further down, you	2:	A. Yes. I was a second of the
3	will see numbers by business segment,	3:	Q. "The impact of these three factors
4	including the music business, right?	4	is clear. In 2007, our music business
5	A. Right.	5	achieved 149 million in revenue but had an
6	Q. Now, under "consumer products and	6	operating loss of 60 million."
7	services," you will see "music (F)," right?	7	A. (Nods head up and down.)
8	A. Right.	8	Q. Okay. Now, you say in paragraph 6
9	Q. Now, what does that line represent?	9	that "Royalty payments we incur"
.0	Is that net revenue for the music business?	10	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Before you get
1	A. Yes,	11	there, Mr. Johnson, I would like to ask a
2	Q. All right. And would you agree	12	question or two here with respect to these
13	with me that the net revenue line for the	13	revenue numbers and the operating loss numbers
4	music business has also gone up eight	14	on page 2 that you report. I take it that
1,5	consecutive quarters?	15	it seems to come out, from Mr. Johnson's
١6	A. Yes.	16	cross-examination, that these effectively are
.7	Q. And that the total net revenue line	17	numbers drawn from your consolidated
8	there further down, that's the same as the one	18	statements.
9	on the top, I believe, correct? It's about 12	19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
Q.	entries down.	20	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Your
1	A. Yes, that's right. I would point	21	consolidated statements are for the entire
22	out on the music line, although it's true that	22	company, including your domestic and foreign
-	Page 6199		Page 6201
1	the revenue increased revenue has been	1	subsidiaries?
2	increasing through '06 and '07. What's not	2	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
3	immediately clear from here, but I think is	3	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: So there is some
4	also relevant, is that the annual if you	4	proportion of this that relates to the foreign
5	annualize this, from 2005 to 2006, the music	5	subsidiaries, and I take it there is a
6	business grew by about 45 percent. For the	6	different regimen that might be applicable
7	last two years it has grown by about	7	there with respect to the royalty issues; is
8	21 percent per year.	8	that fair to say?
9	Q. Okay. Well, it's still growing,	9	THE WITNESS: That is fair. And it
10	right?	10	is also the case that part of the U.S.
11	A. That's right.	11	revenues are from radio services that are not
12 -		12	part of what's at issue here.
13	consecutive quarters, right?	13	JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Thank you.
,	A. Yes.	14	BY MR. JOHNSON:
1.4	Q. Okay. Now, if you add up the	15	Q. Just to follow up on the judge's
	C. Over trous is longing about	Inc	question, the music revenue lines we just
15	quarterly numbers for music in 2007, you get	16	
15 16		17	looked at
15 16 17	quarterly numbers for music in 2007, you get	:	looked at A. Yes.
15 16 17 18	quarterly numbers for music in 2007, you get about 149 million, right? A. Yes.	17	
15 16 17 18 19	quarterly numbers for music in 2007, you get about 149 million, right? A. Yes. Q. And that's the number in your	17 18	A. Yes.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	quarterly numbers for music in 2007, you get about 149 million, right? A. Yes.	17 18 19	A. Yes. Q does that include revenue from

17 (Pages 6202 to 6205)

	Page 6202	Ī	Page 6204
1	payments account for more than half of our	1	my testimony.
2	revenue," correct?	2	Q. Okay. So where would I find the
3	A, Yes.	1 3	number in your statement or in the exhibits to
4	Q. Now, is the dollar number for	4	your statement that represents royalty
5	royalty payments reflected anywhere in your	5	payments?
6	statement?	6	A. What I am trying to get to here is
7	A. In my statement or in these	7	the cost of revenue line that's shown on here
8	numbers?	8	is principally made up I mean, at the
9	O. Or in the numbers.	9	75-plus percent level, if not 80 percent
10	A. Well, it largely is. A much more	10	with royalty payments.
11	efficient way to look at this is actually two	111	Q. 80 percent or 75 percent of that
12	pages later in the appendix where it says	12	number, 81 million
13	Q. Two pages after what?	13	A. Yes.
14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	114	Q 462
15	currently at. So one physical page, two	115	A. Is royalty payments.
16	sides. In other words, the page that's	16	Q is royalty payments?
17	titled well, they all have the same title,	17	A. Yes.
18	but the one that shows music, consumer, TPS in	2	Q. That's your testimony?
19	vertical columns.	19	A. Yes, ît îş.
20	Q. Where are you, sir?	20	Q. All right. So that's about what?
21	A. If you just turn one page from	21	A. Well, it's
22	where we were, go forward one page. On the	22	Q. If you just do the math, that's
	من نبید نه هما به خدو همیدوست. ب ینت خویست بایدند.		- making resource a security was a few formers at the communities -
	Page 6203		Page 6205
1	back side of that page there is a table that	1	about what?
2	shows vertical columns: Music, consumer, TPS,	2	A. \$75 million, somewhere around
3	other.	1 3	there.
4	And this shows the this is	1 4	Q. Well, 80 percent of 80 million is
5	where, to answer your question, the cost of	5	what?
6	the content becomes clear. Because you	, <u>6</u>	A. Okay,
7	have for example, halfway down that page,	. 7	Q. Four-fifths of 80 million is what?
8	you have the \$149 million revenue figure	8	Or three-quarters of 80 million is what? 60,
9	that's in my testimony. You then have the	9	right?
10	cost of revenue of 60	10	A. How about if I just do the actual
11	Q. Just so I am clear, where is that	11	math and then I will tell you
12	\$149 million number represented?	12	Q. Okay.
13	A. On the page, where is it?	13	A. I will give you a more precise
14	Q. Yes.	14	answer.
15	A. This page is two tables, one on top	15	It's going to be about 85 percent
16	of the other. The first is quarterly and the	16	of this figure is royalty payments.
17	table below it is annual. It says, "Year	117	Q. It sounds like you have a specific
18	ended December 31, 2007."	,18	dollar amount in your head; is that correct?
19	So if you look at the lower one,	19	A. No. What I have in my head is I
20	the number for music of net revenue is 149, so	20	know the line item cost of the other
21	that's the same number that we were looking at	:21	components of cost of goods, which is customer
22	on the other page and that is referred to in	22	service

Γ		1	
	Page 6206	!	Page 6208
1	Q. All right.	. 1	basis?
2	A bandwidth and credit card fees.	2	A. It's the difference I would
3	Q, Okay.	3	characterize it as follows. We have a
4	A. And those together typically sum to	4	business that is already highly not
5	around 15 percent of our total cost for these	5	profitable. It could well be the difference
6	products.	6	between us being on a trajectory to make the
7	Q. Okay. All right. So based on	7	business profitable and never becoming
8	that, could you give the court your best	8	profitable because of the basic math that I
9	estimate in dollars of what Real Networks'	9	shared earlier in this testimony.
10	royalty payments were in 2007?	10	Q. Mr. Sheeran, can you -
11	A. 60 to \$65 million.	11	A. It's one thing to look at it and
12	Q. 60 to 65?	12	say, of your total, it's a small amount. But
13	A. Yes.	13	of the profit I'm actually getting, it's a
14	Q. Okay. Now, you know, because your	14	huge amount because I have so little profit to
15	attorney asked you, that the majority of that	15	begin with for precisely the reason that
16	amount is represented by the sound recording	16	you're pointing out, which is I am already
17	royalties paid to the record companies, right?	17	paying so much to the labels.
18	A. Yes.	,18	Q. Mr. Sheeran, thank you for that
19	Q. Pursuant to deals that Real	19	point, but could you answer my question,
20	Networks and its predecessor made beginning in	20	please? For the benefit of the court, could
21	2001, 2002, correct?	21	you tell us whether or not you agree with me
22	A. Yes.	,22	that the difference between our proposal and
	Page 6207		Page 6209
1	Q. All right. And you know that the	1	what you are already accruing is a seven-digit
2	rate at which you pay record companies sound	2	single million dollar number on an annual
3	recording royalties is several multiples of	3	basis? Do the math.
4	what you are accruing for mechanicals, right?	. 4	A. In what year? In 2010?
5	I don't want to, on the public	5	Q. Well, let's take your 2007 number
6	record, get into the specific dollar amount,	. 6	and work from there. You said that the total
7	but you know that it is several multiples of	7	royalties were between 60 and 65 million.
8	what you are accruing for mechanicals,	. 8	You've already told us that the royalties on
و	correct?	1 9	sound recordings that you're paying to the
10	A. Yes.	10	record companies is several multiples of what
11	Q. All right. And you know that the	11	you are accruing for mechanicals and what you
12	rate at which you pay sound recording	,12	would have to pay in mechanicals if the court
13	royalties to the record companies is several	13	adopts our proposal.
14	multiples of what you would have to pay if the	14	So I am now asking you, given
15	court adopted the copyright owners' proposal	115	everything you've just said, isn't it true
16	of 15?	'16	that the difference between our proposal and
17	A. Yes.	17	what you are already accruing is a single
18	Q. All right. Now, given that, would	18	million digit number?
19	you agree with me - you can do the math	19	A. It's close it could be close to
20	that the difference between our proposal and	20	10, actually.
21	what you are accruing right now is a	21	Q. Could be as high as 10?
22	seven-digit single million number on an annual	22	A. In 107 alone. But that's not the
	pranting the minimum in an annual	5.er 5	del at attaches may but but but

19 (Pages 6210 to 6213)

			15 (rages date to date)
-	Page 6210		Page 6212
1	way we look at our business.	1	A. No.
2	Q. Well, I am just asking you, based	2	Q. Sometime în 2007?
3	on the numbers we know about that you have	3	A. I believe it was sometime in 2007.
1	given us in your testimony here, you're saying	4	Q. Now, is it your testimony that that
5	it could be as high as 10, right?	5	accrual number consists of both accruing for
6	A. For a year that's already in the	6	mechanicals as well as performance?
7	past.	7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Correct. Now, it's your testimony,	8	Q. All right. Now, you sound like
9	therefore, that a decision by this court that	9	you're familiar with the ASCAP decision of
10	could cost you, using '07 numbers, as much as	10	Judge Conner in the Southern District of New
11	10 million in addition to what you're already	11	York, right?
12	accruing, in a business that had net revenue	12	A. Yes.
13	of \$567 million in 2007, is going to break the	13	Q. In fact, you testified in that
14	bank; that's your testimony?	14	case, right?
15	A. Yes, it is.	15	A. Yes, I did.
16	Q. Let's talk about what you're	16	Q. And are you aware of the summary
17	accruing.	17	judgment decision that Judge Conner reached
18	A. Can I explain why?	18	about a year ago regarding performance
19	Q. You said in your written	19	royalties, the decision a year ago not the
20	statement - I'm sorry?	20	decision recently, but the decision a year
21	A. Can I explain why?	21	ago?
22	Q. That's what redirect is for. Thank	22	A. I'm not - I'm not particularly
_	Page 6211	<u>.</u>	Page 621?
1	you.	1	informed about that, no.
2	You said in your written direct	1 2	Q. Were you aware that Judge Conner a
3	statement that you are accruing between 8 and	! 3	year ago determined that the downloading of
4	10 percent of revenue, right? In your written	, 4	music does not constitute a public
5	statement	: 5	performance?
6	A. Yes.	6	A. I think I was aware of that, yes.
7	Q you said between 8 and	7	Q. Do you know whether any adjustment
8	10 percent. Now, this morning or this	8	was made to the accrual Real Networks is
9	afternoon you said that as of last year you	9	making based upon that decision?
10	were accruing at a rate of 10 percent.	10	A. I don't know.
11	A. Yes.	11	Q. But you did tell us that sometime
12	Q. Were you involved in that decision?	12	within the last year the accrual went up to
13	A. No.	13	10 percent, right?
14	Q. Who made that decision?	14	A. From 9-1/2.
15	A. I believe - I don't know who made	15	Q. And just to be clear, 10 percent,
16	the decision. I suspect it would be somebody	16.	the amount you're accruing, is about
17	in our finance department, conferring with our	17	4 percentage points greater than the proposal
18	legal department, because our legal department	18	you're making to songwriters in this
19	is the one that's closest to the likely	19	proceeding, right?
20	outcome of proceedings like this.	20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Do you know when the decision to go	21	Q. Now, you said in your written
22	to 10 was made?	:22	statement that of this 8 to 10 percent, that

Page 6214 1 it covers total I'm at paragraph 16 now, 2 page 6 "As a general matter, we have been 3 accruing between 8 to 10 percent of 4 subscription revenue to cover total royalty 4 is consistent with accounting pra	
2 page 6 - "As a general matter, we have been 2 BY MR. JOHNSON: 3 accruing between 8 to 10 percent of 3 Q. Do you know whether the	Page 6216
2 page 6 - "As a general matter, we have been 2 BY MR. JOHNSON: 3 accruing between 8 to 10 percent of 3 Q. Do you know whether the	Sustained.
3 accruing between 8 to 10 percent of 3 Q. Do you know whether the	
	it statement
5 payments to publishers; that is, mechanical 5 A. No, I don't.	
6 payments and public performance payments for 6 Q. All right. Can you look,	please,
7 these services. Based on information 7 at Exhibit 280 again? Page 17.	-
8 available to me, in the ordinary course of my 8 look at page 17 under the heading	•
9 business activities, I believe this is a 9 publishing royalty rates for musi	
10 common accrual practice for other similar 10 subscription services offered thro	
11 music services." 11 Networks and Rhapsody Americ	
12 Now do you know, by the way, 12 fully established. A determination	-
13 Mr. Allen McGlade 13 royalty rates could negatively im	_
14 A. No, I don't. 14 operating results."	j i
15 Q MusicNet? 15 Do you see that?	
16 Did anybody tell you in this 16 A. Yes, I do.	
17 proceeding that MusicNet is accruing at a rate 17 Q. Now, that refers, at least	in part.
18 of 12 percent? 18 to this proceeding and the mechanic	
19 A. I think I may have heard that, yes. 19 rates to be established in this pro	
20 Q. Did you hear that in connection 20 correct?	
21 with this proceeding or in the ordinary course 21 A. Correct.	
	k down
22 of business? 22 Q. All right. And if you loo	**
formation and the second secon	ere appear I as I
Page 6215	Page 6217
Page 6215 I A. I honestly don't remember. 1 toward the bottom of that paragra	Page 6217 ph, second to
Page 6215 1 A. I honestly don't remember. 1 toward the bottom of that paragra 2 Q. Okay. 2 last sentence, you will see, "If the	Page 6217 ph, second to rates
Page 6215 1 A. I honestly don't remember. 1 toward the bottom of that paragra 2 Q. Okay. 2 last sentence, you will see, "If the 3 A. I've heard that number before. I'm 3 agreed to or determined by a CRI	Page 6217 ph, second to rates 3 or by
Page 6215 1 A. I honestly don't remember. 1 toward the bottom of that paragra 2 Q. Okay. 2 last sentence, you will see, "If the 3 A. I've heard that number before. I'm 3 agreed to or determined by a CRI 4 in a lot of meetings. 4 Congress are higher than we expe	Page 6217 ph, second to rates 3 or by ct, the
Page 6215 1 A. I honestly don't remember. 1 toward the bottom of that paragra 2 Q. Okay. 2 last sentence, you will see, "If the 3 A. I've heard that number before. I'm 3 agreed to or determined by a CRI 4 in a lot of meetings. 4 Congress are higher than we expense 5 Q. Now, you say at paragraph 16, 5 increased expense could negative	Page 6217 ph, second to rates 3 or by ct, the
Page 6215 1 A. I honestly don't remember. 1 toward the bottom of that paragra 2 Q. Okay. 2 last sentence, you will see, "If the 3 A. I've heard that number before. I'm 3 agreed to or determined by a CRI 4 in a lot of meetings. 4 Congress are higher than we expect 5 Q. Now, you say at paragraph 16, 5 increased expense could negative 6 page 7, "We adopt accrual rates as a very 6 operating results."	Page 6217 ph, second to rates 3 or by set, the ly impact our
Page 6215 1 A. I honestly don't remember. 1 toward the bottom of that paragra 2 Q. Okay. 2 last sentence, you will see, "If the 3 A. I've heard that number before. I'm 3 agreed to or determined by a CRI 4 in a lot of meetings. 4 Congress are higher than we expe 5 Q. Now, you say at paragraph 16, 5 increased expense could negative 6 page 7, "We adopt accrual rates as a very 6 operating results." 7 conservative estimate that would likely be 7 So would you agree with m	Page 6217 ph, second to rates 3 or by set, the sty impact our sethat, in
Page 6215 1 A. I honestly don't remember. 1 toward the bottom of that paragra 2 Q. Okay. 2 last sentence, you will see, "If the 3 A. I've heard that number before. I'm 3 agreed to or determined by a CRI 4 in a lot of meetings. 4 Congress are higher than we expe 5 Q. Now, you say at paragraph 16, 5 increased expense could negative 6 page 7, "We adopt accrual rates as a very 6 operating results." 7 conservative estimate that would likely be 7 So would you agree with m 8 much higher than the amounts actually needed, 8 that sentence, you are warning sto	Page 6217 ph, second to rates 3 or by set, the ly impact our se that, in ockholders
Page 6215 1 A. I honestly don't remember. 2 Q. Okay. 3 A. I've heard that number before. I'm 4 in a lot of meetings. 5 Q. Now, you say at paragraph 16, 6 page 7, "We adopt accrual rates as a very 7 conservative estimate that would likely be 8 much higher than the amounts actually needed, 9 preparing for the worst-case scenario." 1 toward the bottom of that paragrap 2 Last sentence, you will see, "If the 3 agreed to or determined by a CRI 4 Congress are higher than we expended expense could negative operating results." 7 conservative estimate that would likely be 8 that sentence, you are warning story and investors that the judges could	Page 6217 ph, second to rates 3 or by set, the ly impact our se that, in ockholders d determine
Page 6215 1 A. I honestly don't remember. 1 toward the bottom of that paragra 2 Q. Okay. 2 last sentence, you will see, "If the 3 agreed to or determined by a CRI 4 in a lot of meetings. 4 Congress are higher than we expert 5 Q. Now, you say at paragraph 16, 5 increased expense could negative 6 page 7, "We adopt accrual rates as a very 6 operating results." 7 conservative estimate that would likely be 7 So would you agree with m 8 much higher than the amounts actually needed, 8 that sentence, you are warning sto 9 preparing for the worst-case scenario." 9 and investors that the judges could 10 Now, did you consult an accountant 10 a rate higher than what Real Netver	Page 6217 ph, second to rates 3 or by set, the ly impact our se that, in ockholders d determine
Page 6215 1 A. I honestly don't remember. 2 Q. Okay. 3 A. I've heard that number before. I'm 4 in a lot of meetings. 5 Q. Now, you say at paragraph 16, 6 page 7, "We adopt accrual rates as a very 7 conservative estimate that would likely be 8 much higher than the amounts actually needed, 9 preparing for the worst-case scenario." 1 toward the bottom of that paragrap 2 last sentence, you will see, "If the 3 agreed to or determined by a CRI 4 Congress are higher than we expe 5 increased expense could negative 6 operating results." 7 So would you agree with m 8 much higher than the amounts actually needed, 9 preparing for the worst-case scenario." 9 and investors that the judges could 10 Now, did you consult an accountant 10 a rate higher than what Real Netw 11 before you made that statement, or an auditor? 11 expected, right?	Page 6217 ph, second to rates 3 or by set, the ly impact our se that, in ockholders d determine
Page 6215 1 A. I honestly don't remember. 2 Q. Okay. 3 A. I've heard that number before. I'm 4 in a lot of meetings. 5 Q. Now, you say at paragraph 16, 6 page 7, "We adopt accrual rates as a very 7 conservative estimate that would likely be 8 much higher than the amounts actually needed, 9 preparing for the worst-case scenario." 10 Now, did you consult an accountant 11 before you made that statement, or an auditor? 12 A. Yes. 1 toward the bottom of that paragrap 1 toward the bottom of that paragrap 2 last sentence, you will see, "If the 3 agreed to or determined by a CRI 4 Congress are higher than we experime results." 5 increased expense could negative operating results." 7 So would you agree with many that sentence, you are warning sto and investors that the judges could negative operating results." 10 a rate higher than what Real Network that the judges could negative operating results." 11 before you made that statement, or an auditor? 12 A. Yes.	Page 6217 ph, second to rates 3 or by set, the sty impact our se that, in bekholders determine vorks
Page 6215 1 A. I honestly don't remember. 2 Q. Okay. 3 A. I've heard that number before. I'm 4 in a lot of meetings. 5 Q. Now, you say at paragraph 16, 6 page 7, "We adopt accrual rates as a very 7 conservative estimate that would likely be 8 much higher than the amounts actually needed, 9 preparing for the worst-case scenario." 10 Now, did you consult an accountant 11 before you made that statement, or an auditor? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Is it your understanding that 1 toward the bottom of that paragrap 1 toward the bottom of that paragrap 1 toward the bottom of that paragrap 2 last sentence, you will see, "If the 3 agreed to or determined by a CRI 4 Congress are higher than we expected expense could negative operating results." 7 So would you agree with m 8 that sentence, you are warning sto 9 and investors that the judges could 10 a rate higher than what Real Netv 11 expected, right? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. You're warning investors	Page 6217 ph, second to rates 3 or by set, the sty impact our se that, in bekholders determine vorks
Page 6215 1 A. I honestly don't remember. 2 Q. Okay. 3 A. I've heard that number before. I'm 4 in a lot of meetings. 5 Q. Now, you say at paragraph 16, 6 page 7, "We adopt accrual rates as a very 7 conservative estimate that would likely be 8 much higher than the amounts actually needed, 9 preparing for the worst-case scenario." 10 Now, did you consult an accountant 11 before you made that statement, or an auditor? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Is it your understanding that 1 toward the bottom of that paragrap 1 toward the bottom of that paragrap 1 toward the bottom of that paragrap 2 last sentence, you will see, "If the 3 agreed to or determined by a CRI 4 Congress are higher than we expected expense could negative operating results." 7 So would you agree with m 8 that sentence, you are warning sto 9 and investors that the judges could 10 a rate higher than what Real Netv 11 expected, right? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. You're warning investors	Page 6217 ph, second to rates 3 or by set, the sty impact our se that, in bekholders determine vorks
Page 6215 1 A. I honestly don't remember. 2 Q. Okay. 3 A. I've heard that number before. I'm 4 in a lot of meetings. 5 Q. Now, you say at paragraph 16, 6 page 7, "We adopt accrual rates as a very 7 conservative estimate that would likely be 8 much higher than the amounts actually needed, 9 preparing for the worst-case scenario." 10 Now, did you consult an accountant 11 before you made that statement, or an auditor? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Is it your understanding that 14 accounting practices require you, when you set 15 aside money for an accrual, to set aside for 1 toward the bottom of that paragrap 2 last sentence, you will see, "If the 3 agreed to or determined by a CRI 4 Congress are higher than we expende operating results." 5 operating results." 7 So would you agree with meand investors that the judges coult and investors that the judges coult are the higher than what Real Networks are higher than what Real Networks accounting practices require you, when you set aside for 10 A. Yes. 11 A. Yes. 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. You're warning investors 14 possibility, right? 15 A. Yes.	Page 6217 ph, second to rates 3 or by set, the set that, in seckholders determine vorks
Page 6215 1 A. I honestly don't remember. 1 toward the bottom of that paragra 2 Q. Okay. 2 last sentence, you will see, "If the 3 agreed to or determined by a CRI 4 in a lot of meetings. 4 Congress are higher than we experiment of page 7, "We adopt accrual rates as a very 5 conservative estimate that would likely be 7 So would you agree with m 8 much higher than the amounts actually needed, 9 preparing for the worst-case scenario." 9 and investors that the judges could negative operating results. 10 A. Yes. 11 A. Yes. 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Is it your understanding that 10 accounting practices require you, when you set 14 accounting practices require you, when you set 15 aside money for an accrual, to set aside for 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. Is there anything in the sentence of that paragra 1 toward the bottom of that paragra 2 toward the bottom of that paragra 2 last sentence, you will see, "If the agreed to or determined by a CRI 4 congress are higher than we experiment agreed expense could negative operating results." 5 Q. Now, you say at paragraph 16, 5 increased expense could negative operating results. 7 So would you agree with m 2 that sentence, you are warning store 3 and investors that the judges could a rate higher than what Real Network 10 accounting that 10 accounting practices require you, when you set 14 possibility, right? A. Yes. 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. You're warning investors 14 possibility, right? A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. Is there anything in 16 Q. Okay. Is there anything in 16 paragraph 16, accounting practices and number of 16 Q. Okay. Is there anything in 16 paragraph 16, accounting practices and number 16 paragraph 16, a	Page 6217 ph, second to rates 3 or by set, the set, the set shat, in secholders determine works
Page 6215 1 A. I honestly don't remember. 1 toward the bottom of that paragra 2 Q. Okay. 2 last sentence, you will see, "If the 3 agreed to or determined by a CRI 4 in a lot of meetings. 4 Congress are higher than we experse 5 Q. Now, you say at paragraph 16, 5 increased expense could negative 6 page 7, "We adopt accrual rates as a very 7 conservative estimate that would likely be 8 much higher than the amounts actually needed, 9 preparing for the worst-case scenario." 9 and investors that the judges could 10 Now, did you consult an accountant 10 a rate higher than what Real Networst 11 before you made that statement, or an auditor? 11 expected, right? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Is it your understanding that 14 accounting practices require you, when you set 14 possibility, right? 15 aside money for an accrual, to set aside for 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. Is there anything in	Page 6217 ph, second to rates 3 or by set, the set, the set shat, in secholders determine works
Page 6215 I A. I honestly don't remember. Q. Okay. A. I've heard that number before. I'm in a lot of meetings. Q. Now, you say at paragraph 16, page 7, "We adopt accrual rates as a very conservative estimate that would likely be much higher than the amounts actually needed, preparing for the worst-case scenario." Now, did you consult an accountant before you made that statement, or an auditor? A. Yes. Q. Is it your understanding that accounting practices require you, when you set the worst-case scenario? A. I'm not an accountant, so I wouldn't toward the bottom of that paragrap toward the bottom of determined by a CRI to a least sentence, you will see, "If the toward the bottom of determined by a CRI to a paragraph 16, page 4 Congress are higher than we expect poperating results." So would you agree with m that sentence, you will see, "If the toward the bottom of determined paragraph agreed to or determined page to page 7, "We adopt accru	Page 6217 ph, second to rates 3 or by set, the ly impact our se that, in sekholders determine works of that
Page 6215 I A. I honestly don't remember. Q. Okay. A. I've heard that number before. I'm in a lot of meetings. Q. Now, you say at paragraph 16, page 7, "We adopt accrual rates as a very conservative estimate that would likely be much higher than the amounts actually needed, preparing for the worst-case scenario." Now, did you consult an accountant before you made that statement, or an auditor? A. Yes. Q. Is it your understanding that accounting practices require you, when you set the worst-case scenario? A. I'm not an accountant, so I wouldn't Q. A worst-case scenario in a lawsuit 10 toward the bottom of that paragrap 1 to determined by a CRI 1 toward the bottom of that paragrap 1 that sentence, you will see, "If the 1 that sentence, you will see, "If the 1 that sentence, you are warning sto 1 a rate higher than we expect 1 a rate higher than what Real Netv 1 a rate higher than the amounts accumants 1 a rate higher th	Page 6217 ph, second to rates 3 or by set, the ly impact our se that, in sekholders determine works of that
Page 6215 I A. I honestly don't remember. Q. Okay. A. I've heard that number before. I'm in a lot of meetings. Q. Now, you say at paragraph 16, page 7, "We adopt uccrual rates as a very conservative estimate that would likely be much higher than the amounts actually needed, preparing for the worst-case scenario." Now, did you consult an accountant before you made that statement, or an auditor? A. Yes. Q. Is it your understanding that accounting practices require you, when you set the worst-case scenario? A. I'm not an accountant, so I Wouldn't Q. A worst-case scenario in a lawsuit Q. Congress are higher than we expect increased expense could negative operating results." So would you agree with m that sentence, you are warning sto operating results." So would you agree with m that sentence, you are warning sto and investors that the judges coul a rate higher than what Real Netw expected, right? A. Yes. Q. Is it your understanding that accounting practices require you, when you set the worst-case scenario? A. I'm not an accountant, so I wouldn't Q. A worst-case scenario in a lawsuit Q. A worst-case scenario? A. It only talks about the sce that we expect.	Page 6217 ph, second to rates 3 or by set, the set that, in seckholders determine works of that
Page 6215 A. I honestly don't remember. Q. Okay. A. I've heard that number before. I'm in a lot of meetings. Q. Now, you say at paragraph 16, page 7, "We adopt accrual rates as a very conservative estimate that would likely be much higher than the amounts actually needed, preparing for the worst-case scenario." Now, did you consult an accountant before you made that statement, or an auditor? A. Yes. Q. Is it your understanding that accounting practices require you, when you set the worst-case scenario? A. I'm not an accountant, so I wouldn't Q. A worst-case scenario in a lawsuit Q. A worst-case scenario? A. It only talks about the scenario. I toward the bottom of that paragrap 1 toward the bottom of that paragrap 2 last sentence, you will see, "If the 3 agreed to or determined by a CRI 4 Congress are higher than we expect operating results." So would you agree with m that sentence, you will see, "If the operating results." So would you agree with m that sentence, you will see, "If the operating results." So would you agree with m that sentence, you are warning sto operating results." So would you agree with m that sentence, you are warning sto and investors that the judges coul 10 a rate higher than what Real Netw 11 expected, right? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. You're warning investors 14 possibility, right? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. Is there anything in that alerts investors that you are a for a worst-case scenario? A. It only talks about the sce	Page 6217 ph, second to rates 3 or by set, the set that, in secholders determine works of that in there secruing mario 3, please?

21 (Pages 6218 to 6221)

	Taga 6010		Page 6220
	Page 6218		
1	reference to music publishing rights and music	1	opposite
2	royalty accruals. Do you see that?	2	A. We have been on conference calls
3	A. Yes.	3	logether.
1	Q. And that's a reference to the 8 to	4	Q. Can I finish my statement can I
5	10 percent number that you have referred to,	5	finish my question?
6	correct? That's what you're talking about	6	If I represented to you that
7	there?	7	Mr. Eisenberg sat in that chair and testified
8	A. Yes.	8	to the exact opposite, that under current
9	Q. When I say "you," I mean the	9	market conditions, he could not pass it
10	company, right?	10	through, would that change your testimony?
11	A. Yes.	11	A. No, it would not.
12	Q. Now, if you look at the very last	12	Q. You talk about, in paragraphs 10
13	sentence of that paragraph, you will see it	13	and 11, the fact that you would not be able to
14	says, "While we base our estimates on	14	pass on extra costs to the consumer for three
15	-	15	reasons. Do you see that?
16	assumptions that management believes to be	16	A. Yes.
17	reasonable" right?	17	Q. All right. Now, the mechanical
18	A. Yes.	18	rate, you know, went up from 7.55 to 8
19	Q. All right. Now, is there anything	19	beginning in 2002, right? Do you know that?
20	in this paragraph that tells investors that	20	A. Yes, I do.
21	you are accruing for a worst-case scenario?	21	Q. And do you know that it went up to
22	A. No.	22	8-1/2 percent beginning in 2004?
	Page 6219		Page 6221
1	Q. Let me go back to your statement,	1	MR. LAGUARDA: Objection.
2	please, paragraph 10. Paragraph 10 reads, "I	2	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes, sir?
3	expect that Real and other digital music	3	MR. LAGUARDA: I believe there is
4	providers would ultimately bear the full cost	4	an error in the question.
5	of this or any increase in the mechanical	5	JUDGE ROBERTS: What's the error,
6	royalty rate. Although we often sublicense	6 '	Mr. Laguarda?
7	work from labels rather than license directly	7	MR. LAGUARDA: I don't believe that
8	from the publishers, based on my experience	8	the mechanical rate has been a percentage.
9	with labels and their ability to extract	9	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mechanical
10	higher rates and minimum fees from us, I am	10	rate
11	very confident that they would pass through	11	MR. LAGUARDA: I don't believe the
12	any increase in the mechanical royalty rate."	12	mechanical rate has been a percentage.
13	-	;13	Mr. Johnson has asked repeatedly about
14	A. Yes.	14	percentage of mechanical rates.
15		15	MR. JOHNSON: I'm sorry. 1
16	A. 1 do.	16	misspoke. All right.
17	Q. Do you know Mr. Mark Eisenberg of	17	BY MR. JOHNSON:
18	Sony BMG?	18	Q. It went up to [sic] 7.55 to 8 cents
19	A. I just met him today as he was	19	beginning in 2002. Do you know that?
20	walking out the door.	20	A. I know that it's risen. I'm not
21	Q. If I told you that Mr. Eisenberg,	.21	familiar with the specifics.
22	just before you, testified the exact	22	Q. Well, you know it has risen several

			ZZ (rages ozzz to ozzu)
	Page 6222		Page 6224
1	times in the last six years, correct?	1	less going up, we would have an extremely ugly
2	A. Yes.	2	download business.
3	Q. All right. Now, you tell us in	3	In the case of subscriptions, what
4	paragraph 11 that, "We, in turn, would not be	4	I was specifically talking to was a desire to
5	able to pass on the extra costs to the	5	lower the price, if we can get our content
6	consumer for three reasons," and then you go	6.	cost down, such that carriers could then
7	through the reasons.	7	bundle it and we could go from a total market
8	Now, Real Networks, in fact, raised	В	of under a couple million subscribers, which
9	its price for Rhapsody Unlimited from 9.99 per	9	is where we are today with subscriptions, to
10	month to 12.99 per month in April 2007,	10	having many tens of millions of subscribers.
11	correct?	11	It's not one circumstance. If our
12	A. That's right.	12	costs came down, that we could then pass that
13	Q. And you raised your monthly	13	on and grow the market.
14	subscription fee for Rhapsody to Go to 14.99,	14	Q. Well, it's also true, Mr. Sheeran,
15	correct?	15	that you are charging a price for a service
16	A. No, that's not correct. It's	16	that, in many circumstances, customers don't
17	always been 14.99.	17	take advantage of; isn't that right?
18	Q. How long has it been 14.99?	18	A. I would not characterize it that
19	A. Since we launched the product three	19	way.
20	years ago.	20	Q. Well, let's look at the decision of
21	Q. Okay. But you've raised it 30	21	the performance rate court, Copyright Owners'
22	percent for Rhapsody Unlimited in April 2007,	22	285 for identification.
	Page 6223	1	Page 6225
1	солтест?	. 1	MR. JOHNSON: May I approach, Your
2	A. Yes, that is correct.	2	Honor?
3	Q. All right.	3	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes.
4	A. And those two are related points.	1 4	BY MR. JOHNSON:
5	Q. Now, you also said to us today -	5	Q. Mr. Sheeran, do you have
. 6-	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Speak up.	6	Exhibit 285 in front of you?
7	BY MR. JOHNSON:	7	A. Yes, I do.
8	Q that you are unable to lower	8	Q. What is Exhibit 285?
9	price; is that your testimony? You want a	9	A. It is the opinion in the ASCAP
10	discount, but you're not able to.	10	case.
11	A. I believe my testimony was we	11	Q. And you testified in this case,
12	discuss all the time whether market forces are	12	correct?
13	going to force us to lower price. We have	13	A. Yes, 1 did.
14	chosen not to thus far on downloads.	14	Q. And did you read this opinion?
15	Q. Is it your testimony that you	15	A. I have read most of this opinion,
16	couldn't afford to lower your price?	16	not all of it.
17	A. It is my testimony that let's	17	Q. And you know that your testimony,
18	separate downloads from subscriptions. In the	1.8	in part, forms the basis for some of the
19	case of downloads, we already have a business	19	judge's findings in this decision, right?
20	that has a very, very low margin. And if we	,20	A. I know my testimony is quoted.
21	lowered our retail price in an environment	21	Whether it forms the basis of his opinion, you
22	where our costs were not also going down, much	22	would have to ask him.
T			

			23 (Pages 6226 to 6229)
	Page 6226		Page 6228
1	Q. With regard to Real Networks'	נ	otherwise voluminous document. It's a public
2	performance rights, what was the bottom line	2	document. I just don't see any reason to
3	result here for your company?	3	overburden the record with an entire document
4	A. The bottom line result was that we	4	that's otherwise publicly available.
5	will need if this is maintained as is, is	5	Mr. Johnson is free to question the
6	that we will need to pay on all of our music	6	witness about the document.
7	business, as well as our games and video	7	MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, it's a
8	businesses, at the rate of about 2-1/2 percent	8	public document. I'm not sure I see the harm
9	to ASCAP.	9	or the prejudice in admitting the entire
10	Q. And where was it before?	10	document
11	A. I don't recall the answer to that.	11	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Do you see any
12	Q. Does 1.6 sound about right?	12	reason? I'm not worried about why not to let
13	A. Well that sounds about right,	13	it in. I'm wondering why to let it in.
14	applied to our music business,	14	MR. JOHNSON: Well, specifically
15	Q. Okay. Would you look at page 42	15	for paragraphs 152 and 153, Judge, If if
16	actually, page 41. If you look at page 41 to	16	we are to redact the remaining portions,
17	42, there is a description of the service your	17	that's fine with me. But the reason for my
18	company provides, Rhapsody, right?	18	offer is paragraphs 152, 153.
19	A. Yes.	19	Part of my problem here is that
20	MR. JOHNSON: 1 offer 285 in	20	Mr. Sheeran's testimony in this case is
21		21	confidential, and so I can't use it. But this
22	evidence, Your Honor. This is, by the way, a redacted version — a redacted public version	22	is a public account of his testimony, and what
22	makes and the framework of the second state of the second	1-	manus a see communication transferred sec transferred to the communication of the communicati
	Page 6227	i .	Page 6229
1	of the opinion. The record should reflect	, 1	I intend to do is ask him whether the findings
2	that.	2	of the court here are consistent with the
3	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: What's the	3	testimony he gave, which I believe is relevant
4	purpose of it being offered?	4	to this proceeding.
5	MR. JOHNSON: I want to point out	15	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Sustain the
6	to the witness and put in evidence the	6	objection.
7	findings of the court with regard to the	17	BY MR. JOHNSON:
8	subscription price that Rhapsody charges,	8	Q. Mr. Sheeran, without offering the
9	which is referred to at page 42 of this, which	9	document into evidence, can you look at
10		10	paragraphs 152, 153, please?
11	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Are you	11	A. Okay.
12	talking about the paragraph 153?	12	Q. You see they contain citations to
13	MR. JOHNSON: 152 and 153, Your	13	testimony you gave, correct?
14	Honor. And I am going to ask him whether	14	A. Yes.
15	those findings are consistent with the	15	Q. And the first paragraph reads, "A
16	testimony he gave in that case.	16	Rhapsody subscriber can play as much or as
17	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any response	17	little music as he or she wants. Regardless
18		118	of the actual amount of music played, however,
19	MR. LAGUARDA: Your Honor, I would	19	the Rhapsody subscriber must still pay the
20	object only in that it's consistent with the	120	full subscription fee. If the subscriber
1			ا ساسمند به ند
21 22	rules that require separation and designation of the relevant portion of an exhibit from an	.21 .22	continues to pay the subscription fee, then neither the amount nor the type of music

24 (Pages 6230 to 6233)

,			
	Page 6230		Page 6232
1	actually played by the subscriber affects the	1	evidence.
2	amount of revenue received by Real Networks."	2	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection
3	The next paragraph. "There is a	3	10 288 2
4	percentage of Rhapsody to Go subscribers who	4	MR. LAGUARDA: No, Your Honor.
5	do not take advantage of the portability	5	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without
6	feature that is offered as part of the	6	objection, it's admitted.
7	subscription. Those individuals are, in	7	(Copyright Owners' Trial Exhibit
8	essence, paying an additional \$2 (over and	8	No. 288 was received into evidence.)
9	above the Rhapsody Unlimited subscription fee)	9	BY MR. JOHNSON:
10	for a portability feature they do not use.	10	Q. Mr. Sheeran, could you look at the
11	Real Networks, however, does not refund any	11	last full paragraph on the page that begins
12	portion of the fee for subscribers who do not	12	with, "For the first quarter of 2008," on the
13	use the portability feature."	113	first page?
14	My question to you, sir, without	14	A. Yes.
15	offering this document in evidence, is is	15	Q. All right. And it reads, "For the
16	what I just read to you consistent with the	16	first quarter of 2008, revenue grew 14 percent
17	testimony you gave in this proceeding?	17	to 147.6 million, compared with 129.5 million
18	A. In that proceeding?	18	for the first quarter of 2007," correct?
19		119	A. Yes.
20	A. That proceeding was nine months	[20	Q. Is that an accurate statement?
21	ago. I don't recall. I don't have any reason	21	A. I'm sure it is, if we issued this
22	to believe that it was - that I did not say	22	press release.
	Page 6231	γ	Page 6233
1	this, if it's in here. And I would also be	1	Q. And if you look five lines down,
2	happy to explain this, if you're interested in	2	you will also see that for the first quarter
3	this.	1 3	of 2008 there was a 12 percent increase in
4	Q. Mr. Sheeran, you had an earnings	4	music revenue to 38.1. Do you see that?
5	release last week, correct?	. 5	A. Yes, I do.
6	A: Yes.	. 6	Q. And if you look toward the top of
7	Q. For the first quarter 2008?	7	the text here, the very first paragraph, you
8	A. Yes.	8	will see a statement there from Rob Glaser,
9	Q. And it is the case that for 2008,	9	CEO of Real Networks, "Our results exceeded
10	first quarter, revenue grew - this is for the	10	our expectations across every major business."
11	overall business. Revenue grew 14 percent to	11	Do you see that?
12	147.6 million, compared with 129.5 million for	,12	A. Yes.
13	the first quarter of 2007; is that correct?	13	Q. All right. And turn to the next
14	A. I don't know. I don't have any	114	page, please, the paragraph that begins with,
15	documents in front of me.	15	"As of March 31, '08." Do you see that?
16	Q. Let me show you what I have marked	16	A. Yes.
17	as Exhibit 288 for identification.	17	Q. "As of March 31, 2008, Real had
18	Do you recognize Copyright Owners'	18	approximately 539.6 million in unrestricted
19	Exhibit 288 as an earnings release announcing	119	cash, [®]
20	first quarter 2008 results for Real Networks?	120	Do you see that?
21	A. Yes.	21 22	A. Yes. Q. "Cash equivalents and short-term

25 (Pages 6234 to 6237)

,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20 /10dep p504 co 0501)
	Page 6234		Page 6236
1	investments, and 100 million of convertible	1	message has been repeated in your testimony
2	debt,"	2	frequently, and it's curious. It sounds like
3	Do you see that?	3	you think you're testifying before Congress at
4	A. Yes.	4	a hearing as to what royalties ought to be
5	MR. JOHNSON: I have no further	5	allowed for use of copyright content.
6	questions.	6	Why should we consider what you pay
7	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any redirect?	7	royalties for other rights than the rights
8	MR. LAGUARDA: One question, Your	8	in which we're addressing in this trial?
9	Honor.	9	THE WITNESS: Well, the because,
10	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right.	10	Your Honor, the incremental benefit that
11	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	11	the we don't see any incremental benefit
12	BY MR. LAGUARDA:	12	that the consumer gets if they by paying
13	•	13	the additional fee. In other words, if we're
14		14	paying copyright holders, through the
15		15	performing rights organizations, for the
16	additional royalty payments that are being	16	ability to provide a consumer the experience
17	sought by the copyright owners would make a	17	of an on-demand music stream, if we then need
18	difference to your business, given that you	18	to then pay them again if there is some
19	are accruing already for royalty payments.	19	other license we then need to pay them for,
20	A. This business is a challenging one	20	what was our first payment for? Because there
21	that requires us to get to a much larger	21	really is nothing we're allowed to deliver to
22	scale, and with our current margin structure,	22	the consumer.
	Page 6235	<u>!</u>	Page 6237
1	that is challenging, but we see a path. I've	1	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Isn't that an
2	talked today about some of the ways we intend	. 2	argument you make to Congress when they create
3	to achieve that, such as through our bundling	3	two separate rights? That argument is gone.
4	strategy with carriers.	4	Congress has already created those two
5	With if we needed to adopt the	5	separate rights. Why are you making it here?
6	rates proposed by the copyright owners, that	6	THE WITNESS: All I can the only
7	would make it it's difficult for me to know	7	answer I can give you, Your Honor, is that of
8	today how we would ever get the business to be	8	looking at this from the businessperson's
9	break-even either based on our current product		perspective and the consumer perspective. I
10	offerings or based on getting the carrier	10	can't tell you whether our attorneys, who were
11	bundle strategy to work because of both the	.11	trying to influence legislation, would have a
12		;12	different answer.
13	are that they are looking for.	13	When I look at this as a person
14	So it would really lead us to ask	, ! Å	trying to construct a service, I am just not
15	very hard questions about whether this is	15	even familiar with ever having to go and get
16 17	whether the way that we're approaching this	,16 :17	the permission to do one thing in two different ways from the same person.
18	business today is the right way to look at it, the right way to be investing in it.	18	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: You're not
19		19	very familiar with regulatory
20	questions.	20	THE WITNESS: That may well be
21	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Sheeran,	21	true.
22	look at paragraph 20 of your statement. This	12	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: It just seems
	that we have the large we as I are noneminate. Yilly		mentioner - dem nices depresent deman de l'Estate et projettes

Page 6240 Page 6238 like you're wasting your time and our time to 1 been in our laws for a century? 1 THE WITNESS: While I'm not a 2 argue something that is a policy decision made 2 3 lawyer. I don't know whether, in all or even by Congress. They have created the rights 3 that you're complaining about. We have no 4 4 most of those cases, the same person was also 5 paying a public performance fee for the same 5 ability to say, well, it's a burden on you, 6 therefore, we're going to eliminate the rights experience. 6 7 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We're not 7 that Congress has created, and you don't have 8 to pay performance rights because that's too 8 setting public performance fees. 9 THE WITNESS: Well, I understand 9 much for you to have to pay. 10 your point, and I don't want to waste your 10 THE WITNESS: Well, first, I 11 apologize if it seems like we're wasting your 11 time by trying to express myself any other way 12 on this. 12 time. That's not at all the intent. 13 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any other 13 The analogy that I -- the reason 14 this still seems to us to be inappropriate, 14 questions? JUDGE ROBERTS: Yes, I have one. 15 even if Congress has taken this position, is 15 16 If you would turn to page 2, Mr. Sheeran, of 16 that thus -- historically, the use of 17 mechanical licenses, as granted by Congress, 17 your testimony, please. I am looking at 18 18 paragraph 6, toward the bottom of paragraph 6. has always been, to my knowledge, to grant 19 And in paragraph 6 you're talking about the 19 some degree of ability to make a copy that 20 costs that you incur for providing the music 20 ultimately confers a benefit and, in the case 21 121 products that you do. And, in particular, 1 of a consumer, some degree of ownership or 122 am looking at the sentence that begins with 22 right to act with a given piece of music. And Page 6239 Page 6241 the word "second." Do you see that? absent this coming along, with what we need to . I 1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2 pay the PROs, there really is no -- the 3 consumer is not allowed to get the stream at 3 JUDGE ROBERTS: It says, "Second, 4 we face high legal and technical costs in 4 all. 5 order to clear licenses, obtain physical 5 So I apologize if it seems like 5 we're wasting everyone's time. We don't 5 copies of music, prepare music for delivery. 7 store it, and deliver it to end users." 7 understand the logic of it, and I don't know 8 And my first question to you is 8 whether Congress fully understood, in taking that approach, that there was actually nothing 9 that with respect to the list of items that 9 10 you provide here, which is to clear licenses, one could do with one but not the other. I 10 obtain physical copies, prepare the music for don't know what else to tell you. 11 11 12 delivery, store it and deliver it to end 12 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Are you 13 familiar with how long users of copyright 13 users, with the exception of clearing 114 licenses, are the rest of those all your 14 material have had to pay mechanical rates? technical costs only, such that the only legal 15 15 THE WITNESS: A very long time. cost you refer to here is clearing licenses? 16 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Almost a 16 THE WITNESS: I believe that's 17 17 century. 18 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. right. 19 JUDGE ROBERTS: Okay! Given that, 19 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Over a could you please explain to us what your high 20 century. And that's what you're complaining .20 21 legal costs are with respect to clearing 21 about, is that you're having to pay something licenses? that's been -- that's in our Constitution and 22 22

Page 6242 1 Page 6244 THE WITNESS: Sure. We employ --1 1 only to show why what may appear to be a very 2 2 small percentage increase on the mechanicals in-house, we employ three 100-percent 3 3 dedicated attorneys who are very capable and is a very large impact to our overall profit 4 4 margin, because these are thin-profit not cheap. We then have an additional, I 5 5 would estimate, four or more, maybe five. businesses. 6 full-time equivalent attorneys in house that 6 JUDGE ROBERTS: Thank you for the 7 7 clarification. work on this. We have consultants who help 8 us - external consultants who help us in 8 JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Just one 9 9 negotiating with the labels. And then we have question, Mr. Sheeran. I'm not sure the 10 10 outside law firms that help us both in our record is entirely clear on this. I am going 11 11 to try and ask it carefully. How much of the contracts and in proceedings like this. 12 12 10 percent accrual rate that you were talking And the aggregate of all of that is 13 13 about earlier is being accrued to cover the quite - is a lot of money. 14 14 JUDGE ROBERTS: How would a higher cost of the domestic mechanical royalties at 15 15 issue in this matter? mechanical royalty fee impact these legal 16 16 costs? Would it require you to hire more THE WITNESS: We intentionally used 17 attorneys, hire more licensing people? I'm 17 10 percent as an all-in number because we had, 18 18 not sure that I understand how the legal fees until quite recently, variables in both the 19 19 that you have have a bearing on what the outcome of this and the outcome of the ASCAP 20 20 mechanical rate is. trial. And so I don't think there is a 21 21 THE WITNESS: This part of this specific number, but our assumption had, I 22 paragraph was intended only to convey why this 22 believe, been that they would land in the same Page 6245 Page 6243 1 is a difficult -- why this margin already has 1. neighborhood, so roughly half each, but I 2 2 difficult gross margins. You're correct that don't think there was ever a specific, you this would not raise our legal costs, unless 3 3 know, it's 5 percent for this and 5 percent 4 we didn't finish this proceeding pretty soon. 4 for that. But we didn't think it was going to be 90/10. 5 5 But I was explaining why - it helps explain 6 6 JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: You didn't have why the gross margins that I talked about at 7 7 any particular expectation as to how that the very beginning of my testimony today are 8 as low as they are. We have all these costs 8 would split out. 9 on the gross margin side, and then additional 9 THE WITNESS: Well, we did, and --10 10 JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: What was it? costs on our operating costs [sic]. 11 111 THE WITNESS: We thought the So it was merely intended to only 12 12 aggregate of the two would not be more than explain the tables that appear in the ,13 13 10 percent, which is why we were accruing at appendix. 14 JUDGE ROBERTS: Okay. And that's 14 that rate. And the ASCAP ruling, as we 15 15 discussed earlier, as it applies to our music the same for your technical costs --16 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's right. 16 business, came in a little higher than 17 JUDGE ROBERTS: -- that these are 17 expected. The proposal from the copyright 18 18 holders came in much, much higher than your costs, and they're very high, but the -19 what the royalty fee was for mechanicals 119 expected. 20 doesn't affect those particular high technical :20 JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: So are you 21 21 trying to say you were expecting more for the costs. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, it was intended 22 former as opposed to the latter? Is that what

you're telling me? THE WITNESS: We expected the two together to come in around 10, and we didn't expect either one to predominate. Whether one came in at 6 and the other came in at 4, I don't think we got into that specific of a forecast. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any other questions? JUDGE ROBERTS: I do have one more. JUDGE ROBERTS: Thank you. The WITNESS: Do you one uses them? CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE THE WITNESS: Well, the copyright owners get more or the record THE WITNESS: Well, made an assumption about with what the the copyright owners get more or the record	ads, at least a business plan he answer. Or you siness, you GE: That answer that be true, he mechanical ed through edings? ou mean today no
THE WITNESS: We expected the two logether to come in around 10, and we didn't expect either one to predominate. Whether one came in at 6 and the other came in at 4, I don't think we got into that specific of a forecast. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any other questions? MUDGE ROBERTS: I do have one more. LOGIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any other CHIEF JUDGE ROBERTS: I do have one more. And I assume, Mr. Sheeran, that in that context you're mostly concerned with what the total cost of royalties are. You don't really care individually whether the split — whether is going to end. But once it end then you can build a long-term around it because you know the around it because you know the fact choose to not be in the bulk know. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDG puzzles me further. How can given the fact that no one uses royalty rates that are establish this proceeding or prior proce this proceeding or prior proce this proceeding or prior proce CHIEF JUDGE SLEDG THE WITNESS: Do you can build a long-term around it because you know the can choose to not be in the bulk know. THE JUDGE SLEDG CHIEF JUDGE SLEDG CHIEF JUDGE SLEDG THE WITNESS: Well,	ads, at least a business plan he answer. Or you siness, you GE: That answer that be true, he mechanical ed through edings? ou mean today no
THE WITNESS: We expected the two together to come in around 10, and we didn't expect either one to predominate. Whether one came in at 6 and the other came in at 4, I don't think we got into that specific of a forecast. TUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any other questions? TUDGE ROBERTS: I do have one more. Multiple Robert of the product of the pour can build a long-term around it because you know the can choose to not be in the build a long-term around it because you know the can choose to not be in the build a long-term around it because you know the can choose to not be in the build a long-term around it because you know the can choose to not be in the build a long-term around it because you know the can choose to not be in the build a long-term around it because you know the can choose to not be in the build a long-term around it because you know the can choose to not be in the build a long-term around it because you know the can choose to not be in the build a long-term around it because you know the can choose to not be in the build a long-term around it because you know the can choose to not be in the build a long-term around it because you know the can choose to not be in the build a long-term around it because you know the can choose to not be in the build a long-term around it because you know the can choose to not be in the build a long-term around it because you know the can choose to not be in the build a long-term around it because you know the can choose to not be in the build a long-term around it because you know the can choose to not be in the build a long-term around it because you know the can choose to not be in the build a long-term around it because you know the can choose to not be in the build a long-term around it because you know the can choose to not be in the build a long-term around it because you know the can choose to not be in the build a long-term around it because you know the can choose to not be in the build a long-term around it because you know th	the business plan the answer. Or you siness, you that be true, the mechanical ed through edings?
then you can build a long-term around 10, and we didn't expect either one to predominate. Whether one to around it because you know the came in at 6 and the other came in at 4, I can choose to not be in the buse of forecast. TUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any other questions? UDGE ROBERTS: I do have one more. And I assume, Mr. Sheeran, that in that context you're mostly concerned with what the total cost of royalties are. You don't really total care individually whether the split whe	the answer. Or you siness, you see: That answer that be true, the mechanical ed through edings?
5 came in at 6 and the other came in at 4, I 6 don't think we got into that specific of a 7 forecast. 8 JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Thank you. 9 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any other 10 questions? 11 JUDGE ROBERTS: I do have one more. 12 And I assume, Mr. Sheeran, that in that 13 context you're mostly concerned with what the 14 total cost of royalties are. You don't really 15 can choose to not be in the but 6 know. 7 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE 8 puzzles me further. How can 9 given the fact that no one uses 10 royalty rates that are establish 11 this proceeding or prior proce 12 And I assume, Mr. Sheeran, that in that 12 THE WITNESS: Do you 13 context you're mostly concerned with what the 13 one uses them? 14 total cost of royalties are. You don't really 14 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE 15 THE WITNESS: Well,	siness, you FE: That answer that be true, the mechanical ed through edings? ou mean today no
forecast. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any other questions? IUDGE ROBERTS: I do have one more. And I assume, Mr. Sheeran, that in that context you're mostly concerned with what the total cost of royalties are. You don't really care individually whether the split whether know. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDG puzzles me further. How can given the fact that no one uses royalty rates that are establish this proceeding or prior proce THE WITNESS: Do you CHIEF JUDGE SLEDG CHIEF JUDGE SLEDG THE WITNESS: Well,	FE: That answer that be true, the mechanical ed through edings?
7 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE 8 JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Thank you. 9 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any other 10 questions? 11 JUDGE ROBERTS: I do have one more. 12 And I assume, Mr. Sheeran, that in that 13 context you're mostly concerned with what the 14 total cost of royalties are. You don't really 15 care individually whether the split whether 7 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE 8 puzzles me further. How can 9 given the fact that no one uses 10 royalty rates that are establish 11 this proceeding or prior proce 12 THE WITNESS: Do you 13 context you're mostly concerned with what the 14 total cost of royalties are. You don't really 15 THE WITNESS: Well,	that be true, the mechanical ed through edings? ou mean today no
8 JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Thank you. 9 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any other 10 questions? 11 JUDGE ROBERTS: I do have one more. 12 And I assume, Mr. Sheeran, that in that 13 context you're mostly concerned with what the 14 total cost of royalties are. You don't really 15 care individually whether the split whether 18 puzzles me further. How can 9 given the fact that no one uses 10 royalty rates that are establish 11 this proceeding or prior proce 12 THE WITNESS: Do you 13 one uses them? 14 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE 15 THE WITNESS: Well,	that be true, the mechanical ed through edings? ou mean today no
9 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any other 10 questions? 11 JUDGE ROBERTS: I do have one more. 12 And I assume, Mr. Sheeran, that in that 13 context you're mostly concerned with what the 14 total cost of royalties are. You don't really 15 care individually whether the split whether 19 given the fact that no one uses 10 royalty rates that are establish 11 this proceeding or prior proce 12 THE WITNESS: Do you 13 one uses them? 14 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: 15 THE WITNESS: Well,	the mechanical ed through edings? ou mean today no
9 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any other 10 given the fact that no one uses 10 questions? 10 royalty rates that are establish 11 JUDGE ROBERTS: I do have one more. 11 this proceeding or prior proce 12 And I assume, Mr. Sheeran, that in that 12 THE WITNESS: Do you 13 context you're mostly concerned with what the 14 total cost of royalties are. You don't really 14 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE 15 care individually whether the split whether 15 THE WITNESS: Well,	ed through edings? ou mean today no
11 JUDGE ROBERTS: I do have one more. 11 this proceeding or prior proce 12 And I assume, Mr. Sheeran, that in that 12 THE WITNESS: Do yo 13 context you're mostly concerned with what the 13 one uses them? 14 total cost of royalties are. You don't really 14 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDG 15 care individually whether the split whether 15 THE WITNESS: Well,	edings? ou mean today no
And I assume, Mr. Sheeran, that in that 12 THE WITNESS: Do you 13 context you're mostly concerned with what the 14 total cost of royalties are. You don't really 15 care individually whether the split whether 15 THE WITNESS: Well,	ou mean today no
context you're mostly concerned with what the total cost of royalties are. You don't really care individually whether the split whether THE WITNESS: Well.	
14 total cost of royalties are. You don't really 14 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDG 15 care individually whether the split whether 15 THE WITNESS: Well,	F: Correct
15 care individually whether the split whether 15 THE WITNESS: Well,	F: Correct.
12.5 Management of the second 12.6 media on recommendary about well	because we've
16 the copyright owners get more or the record 16 made an assumption about wi	ere they would
27 companies get more; you're mostly concerned 27 land, which is in our accruals.	
with the bottom line number, I presume. 18 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDO	SE: The industry
19 THE WITNESS: In terms of what I 19 has never used them, have the	y?
20 care about, what you're saying is accurate. 20 THE WITNESS: We h	ave been accruing
21 Of course, because one of them we have— 21 since the beginning of the—c	f entering this
22 because we have essentially no ability no 22 business, knowing that it was	coming
Page 6247	Page 6249
1 leverage over the labels to get them to lower 1 eventually.	
2 their rates, we care very, very deeply about 2 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDO	SE: All of your
3 this proceeding because we're extremely 3 use of mechanical content had	
4 confident that we cannot go back to them and 4 voluntary agreements. You h	
5 say, oh, this came in higher; therefore, you 5 compulsory license for any m	
6 need to make us whole by lowering your rates. 6 THE WITNESS: In the	
7 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: You just 7 downloads, the labels have ta	ken care of that
8 raised something that I have big question 8 and included what we owe fo	r mechanicals in
9 marks in my notes that you said earlier. Why 9 what they charge us.	-
10 do the labels have more disparate bargaining 10 In the case of our subsc	ription
11 power with you than the publishers do? You 11 services, that we have simply	been accruing
12 have to have both, don't you? 12 for, awaiting the outcome of	this proceeding.
THE WITNESS: We have to have both, 13 Whether the labels were	e taking a
but there is no statutory rate with the 14 statutory license or not, you v	
15 labels, which means that we never get to 15 ask them. They had represen	
16 completion. Every year we have to go back and 16 includes the payment for the	
17 see what the rate is going to be for the next 17 CHIEF JUDGE SLED	7 -
18 year. And any one of them can cripple us by 18 JUDGE ROBERTS: N	
19 just denying us their license. 19 CHIEF JUDGE SLED	GE: Thank you,
20 JUDGE ROBERTS: And you don't have 20 sir.	
21 to wony about that on the mechanical side? 21 THE WITNESS: That	īk you.
22 THE WITNESS: Well, we're worried 22 (Witness excused.)	

Copyright Owner Designations (Yellow)

Capital Reporting Company

	Capital Kepol		<i>J</i>
	Page 4583		Page 4585
1	A. A specific demand elasticity study	1	Q. And not for subscription, correct?
2	is one that essentially attempts to estimate,	2	A. No.
3	holding constant all of the things that could	3	MR. COHEN: No further questions,
4	affect the quantity that consumers of a	4	Your Honor.
5	particular product would find important, such	5	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We will
6	as the price of other products, close	6	recess, ten minutes.
7	substitutes, the nature of their demands, the	7	(Recess.)
8	characteristics of the products, the quality	8	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you. We
و	of the products. It's an attempt to estimate	9	will come to order. Any questions by RIAA?
10	econometrically what the response in terms of	10	MR. FREEDMAN: No, Your Honor.
11	quantity demanded would be to a specific price	11	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any redirect?
12	point.	12	MR. LAGUARDA: No, Your Honor.
13	It tends also to be something that	13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any questions
14	one looks at in general, if one is doing it	14	from the bench?
15	econometrically, in and around the particular	15	Thank you, ma'am. You're excused.
16	market prices that are relevant. So one would	16	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
17	be looking, for example, at the demand	17	(Witness excused.)
18	elasticity for a given demand curve in and	18	MR. LAGUARDA: Your Honor, if I
19	around the market price.	19	could have the court's brief indulgence while
20	Q. And you didn't do any specific	20	we set up for the next witness.
21	demand elasticity study for the digital music	21	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Laguarda?
22	market, did you?	22	MR. LAGUARDA: Your Honor, at this
	Page 4584		Page 4586
1	A. Of the type I just talked about,	1	time the Digital Media Association calls
2	no.	2	Timothy Quirk.
3	Q. Neither for downloads, correct?	3.	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Quirk,
4	A. Of the type I just talked about,	4	please stand and raise your hand.
5	no.	5	Whereupon,
6	Q. Nor did you do a specific demand	6	TIMOTHY QUIRK,
.7.	elasticity study for the subscription	-7	called for examination by counsel, and having
8	business, correct?	8	been affirmed by the Chief Judge, was examined
9	A. Of the type I talked about, no.	9	and testified as follows:
10	Q. And when you do a specific demand	10	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you,
11	elasticity study, you generate elasticity	11	Please be seated.
12	coefficients?	12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	A. Of the type that I mentioned, if	13	BY MR. LAGUARDA:
14	you were doing, for example, a regression	14	Q. Good morning. Could you please
15	analysis, you identify a point estimate or a	15	state your full name for the record.
16	coefficient, that's correct.	16	A. My name is Timothy Bruce Quirk.
17	Q. And you didn't do that for the	17	Q. And please speak up.
18	digital music business, correct?	18	A. Okay.
19	A. That specific type of analysis or a	19	Q. Where are you currently employed?
20	specific estimation of coefficient, no.	20	A. I work for Rhapsody America.
21	Q. Not for downloads, right?	21	Q. And what is Rhapsody America?
22	A. No.	22	A. Rhapsody America is a joint venture

17 (Pages 4583 to 4586)

	Page 4587		Page 4589
1	formed last August between Real Networks and	1	A. Yes, I was, and I am.
2	Viacom.	2	Q. How many songs have you written?
3	Q. And what is your title or present	3	A. Between 100 and 150 over the years.
4	position?	4	Q. For your band?
5	A. My present position is vice	5	A. Yes, for Too Much Joy, and a side
6	president of music programming.	6	project that the guitar player and I formed
7	Q. And what are your duties in that	7	around the year 2000 called Wonderlick.
8	position?	8	Q. If I could just remind you to speak
9	A. I oversee the team that catalogs	9	up.
10	and curates all the music within the Rhapsody	10	A. Okay.
11	subscription service.	11	Q. And did you have a publishing deal
12	Q. Taking us back, could you tell the	12	when you started out?
13	court where you obtained your undergraduate	13	A. Not when we started out. When we
14	degree?	14	got signed to the Warner Bros. label, we got a
15	A. I graduated from Stanford	15	publishing deal with—at the time it was
16	University.	16	Virgin Music Publishing which eventually
17	Q. And did you have any postgraduate	17	became EMI Music Publishing.
18	education after that?	18	Q. Did your publisher help you in any
19	A. Yes, I received a master of fine	19	way?
20	arts in dramatic writing from NYU.	20	A. They did. They gave us a very
21	Q. And during that time did you become	21	large advance which helped us pay our rent
22	involved in the music business?	22	while we were major label musicians. They
	Page 4588		Page 4590
	·	_	•
1	A. Yes. I was I had formed a band	ıı	also contributed some promotional money mostly
2	called Too Much Joy with some friends in high	2	to help get us on the radio, again while we
3	school. While I was in grad school, we	3	were on the major label.
4	self-released our first album. That got we	4	From time to time there would be
5	then got signed to an independent label in San	15	licensing requests for movies, TV shows,
6	Francisco, released our second album. That	16	things like that. They would deal with that.
7.	album got picked up by Warner Bros., a Warner-	7	Q. Have you been a music publisher
8	Music Group label called Giant.	8	yourself?
9	We recorded — so Giant rereleased	9	A. Yes. We - you know, everyone in
10	that album. We recorded two more records for	10	the band was a cowriter of all our songs. I
11	Giant, then moved over to Discovery, which was	11	was the lyricist, but we all wrote the music
12	another Time Warner label, and then released	12	together. So we formed a publishing company
13	some more albums via independent labels after	13	to control that material, and then I formed a
14	that.	14	second publishing company to control the
15	Q. How many albums?	15	material that Wonderlick records,
16	A. One, two, three, four seven.	16	Q. Do you consider yourself still a
17	Q. And what did you do in the band?	17	songwriter today? A. Yes.
18	A. I was the —I was the front guy.	18 19	Q. Are you still recording music?
19	I stood in the middle and yelled.	20	A. Jam. I have a Wonderlick has a
20	Q. Did the band sell any CDs?	21	
21	A. Yes, we did.	1	digital-only EP coming out in about a week.
22	Q. Were you a songwriter as well?	22	Q. Are you affiliated with ASCAP or

Page 4591 1 BMI? 2 A. 1 am with ASCAP. 3 Q. Do you receive mechanical royalties 4 still? 5 A. I do. 6 Q. And public performance royalties? 7 A. I do. 8 Q. At what point in time did you enter 9 the real workforce? 10 A. Well, you're assuming that being a 11 musician is not the real workforce. But 1 got 12 a 9:00 to 5:00 job in 1999. I joined a 13 startup in San Francisco called Listen.com. 14 Q. What were your duties at the time? 15 A. I initially joined as the soul R&B 16 music reviewer, and very quickly after that 17 became managing editor, and eventually the 18 chibit that contain confidential information. 2 And for the court's convenience, I have 3 prepared a redaction log, if I may approach. 4 Your Honor, the redaction log, 5 which has been marked as DiMA Trial Exhibit 9 6 identifies and references three categories of 7 information that Real Networks is requesting 8 confidential treatment of. The first is 9 information from the company's internal 10 financials and P&L, the disclosure of which 11 would cause competitive harm, information of 12 the type that has previously been protected in 13 this proceeding contained in limited 14 paragraphs of the testimony, paragraphs 49 and 15 50, and also reflected in an attachment, 16 Exhibit E— appendix E, which contains a 17 company P&L.
A. I am with ASCAP. Q. Do you receive mechanical royalties 4 still? A. I do. Q. And public performance royalties? A. I do. Q. And public performance royalties? A. I do. Q. At what point in time did you enter bethe real workforce? A. Well, you're assuming that being a startup in San Francisco called Listen.com. A. I initially joined as the soul R&B A. I initially joined as the soul R&B Tyour Honor, the redaction log, if I may approach. Your Honor, the redaction log, which has been marked as DiMA Trial Exhibit 9 identifies and references three categories of information that Real Networks is requesting econfidential treatment of. The first is information from the company's internal financials and P&L, the disclosure of which would cause competitive harm, information of the type that has previously been protected in this proceeding contained in limited paragraphs of the testimony, paragraphs 49 and paragraphs of the testimony, paragraphs 49 and the soul R&B A. I initially joined as the soul R&B To music reviewer, and very quickly after that became managing editor, and eventually the
9 the real workforce? 9 A. Well, you're assuming that being a musician is not the real workforce. But I got a 9:00 to 5:00 job in 1999. I joined a startup in San Francisco called Listen.com. 10 A. I initially joined as the soul R&B 11 music reviewer, and very quickly after that became managing editor, and eventually the 12 still? 3 prepared a redaction log, if I may approach. 4 Your Honor, the redaction log, which has been marked as DiMA Trial Exhibit 9 4 Your Honor, the redaction log, which has been marked as DiMA Trial Exhibit 9 5 identifies and references three categories of information that Real Networks is requesting 8 6 identifies and references three categories of information that Real Networks is requesting 8 6 confidential treatment of. The first is 9 9 information from the company's internal 10 10 financials and P&L, the disclosure of which 11 would cause competitive harm, information of 12 12 the type that has previously been protected in 13 this proceeding contained in limited 14 Paragraphs of the testimony, paragraphs 49 and 15 50, and also reflected in an attachment, 16 Exhibit E—appendix E, which contains a 17 company P&L.
4 Your Honor, the redaction log, 5 A. I do. 6 Q. And public performance royalties? 6 identifies and references three categories of 7 A. I do. 8 Q. At what point in time did you enter 9 the real workforce? 10 A. Well, you're assuming that being a 11 musician is not the real workforce. But I got 12 a 9:00 to 5:00 job in 1999. I joined a 13 startup in San Francisco called Listen.com. 14 Q. What were your duties at the time? 15 A. I initially joined as the soul R&B 16 music reviewer, and very quickly after that 17 became managing editor, and eventually the 18 Your Honor, the redaction log, 5 which has been marked as DiMA Trial Exhibit 5 6 identifies and references three categories of 7 information that Real Networks is requesting 8 confidential treatment of. The first is 9 information from the company's internal 10 financials and P&L, the disclosure of which 11 would cause competitive harm, information of 12 the type that has previously been protected in 13 this proceeding contained in limited 14 paragraphs of the testimony, paragraphs 49 and 15 50, and also reflected in an attachment, 16 Exhibit E appendix E, which contains a 17 company P&L.
5 A. I do. 6 Q. And public performance royalties? 7 A. I do. 8 Q. At what point in time did you enter 9 the real workforce? 10 A. Well, you're assuming that being a 11 musician is not the real workforce. But I got 12 a 9:00 to 5:00 job in 1999. I joined a 13 startup in San Francisco called Listen.com. 14 Q. What were your duties at the time? 15 A. I initially joined as the soul R&B 16 music reviewer, and very quickly after that 17 became managing editor, and eventually the 5 which has been marked as DiMA Trial Exhibit 5 6 identifies and references three categories of 7 information that Real Networks is requesting 8 confidential treatment of. The first is 9 information from the company's internal 10 financials and P&L, the disclosure of which 11 would cause competitive harm, information of 12 the type that has previously been protected in 13 this proceeding contained in limited 14 paragraphs of the testimony, paragraphs 49 and 15 So, and also reflected in an attachment, 16 Exhibit E—appendix E, which contains a 17 company P&L.
Q. And public performance royalties? A. I do. Q. At what point in time did you enter the real workforce? A. Well, you're assuming that being a musician is not the real workforce. But I got a 9:00 to 5:00 job in 1999. I joined a startup in San Francisco called Listen.com. Q. What were your duties at the time? A. I initially joined as the soul R&B music reviewer, and very quickly after that became managing editor, and eventually the information that Real Networks is requesting confidential treatment of. The first is confidential treatment of. The first is information that Real Networks is requesting a confidential treatment of. The first is proceeding the company's internal to financials and P&L, the disclosure of which would cause competitive harm, information of the type that has previously been protected in this proceeding contained in limited paragraphs of the testimony, paragraphs 49 and the company P&L.
A. I do. Q. At what point in time did you enter the real workforce? A. Well, you're assuming that being a musician is not the real workforce. But I got a 9:00 to 5:00 job in 1999. I joined a startup in San Francisco called Listen.com. Q. What were your duties at the time? A. I initially joined as the soul R&B music reviewer, and very quickly after that became managing editor, and eventually the information that Real Networks is requesting confidential treatment of. The first is minformation from the company's internal financials and P&L, the disclosure of which would cause competitive harm, information of the type that has previously been protected in this proceeding contained in limited paragraphs of the testimony, paragraphs 49 and formation that Real Networks is requesting confidential treatment of. The first is minformation that Real Networks is requesting confidential treatment of. The first is minformation from the company's internal to financials and P&L, the disclosure of which the type that has previously been protected in this proceeding contained in limited paragraphs of the testimony, paragraphs 49 and the type that has previously been protected in this proceeding contained in limited paragraphs of the testimony, paragraphs 49 and the type that has previously been protected in the type that has previousl
Q. At what point in time did you enter the real workforce? A. Well, you're assuming that being a musician is not the real workforce. But I got a 9:00 to 5:00 job in 1999. I joined a startup in San Francisco called Listen.com. Q. What were your duties at the time? A. I initially joined as the soul R&B music reviewer, and very quickly after that became managing editor, and eventually the g. Confidential treatment of. The first is information from the company's internal to financials and P&L, the disclosure of which would cause competitive harm, information of the type that has previously been protected in this proceeding contained in limited paragraphs of the testimony, paragraphs 49 and 15 50, and also reflected in an attachment, Exhibit E appendix E, which contains a company P&L.
9 information from the company's internal 10 A. Well, you're assuming that being a 11 musician is not the real workforce. But I got 12 a 9:00 to 5:00 job in 1999. I joined a 13 startup in San Francisco called Listen.com. 14 Q. What were your duties at the time? 15 A. I initially joined as the soul R&B 16 music reviewer, and very quickly after that 17 became managing editor, and eventually the 18 financials and P&L, the disclosure of which 19 financials and P&L, the disclosure of which 10 the type that has previously been protected in 11 this proceeding contained in limited 12 paragraphs of the testimony, paragraphs 49 and 13 paragraphs of the testimony, paragraphs 49 and 14 Exhibit E appendix E, which contains a 15 company P&L.
10 A. Well, you're assuming that being a musician is not the real workforce. But I got a 9:00 to 5:00 job in 1999. I joined a startup in San Francisco called Listen.com. 12 Q. What were your duties at the time? 13 A. I initially joined as the soul R&B 15 So, and also reflected in an attachment, music reviewer, and very quickly after that 16 became managing editor, and eventually the 10 financials and P&L, the disclosure of which would cause competitive harm, information of 12 the type that has previously been protected in 13 this proceeding contained in limited 14 paragraphs of the testimony, paragraphs 49 and 15 So, and also reflected in an attachment, 16 Exhibit E appendix E, which contains a 17 company P&L.
musician is not the real workforce. But I got a 9:00 to 5:00 job in 1999. I joined a startup in San Francisco called Listen.com. Q. What were your duties at the time? A. I initially joined as the soul R&B music reviewer, and very quickly after that became managing editor, and eventually the 11 would cause competitive harm, information of the type that has previously been protected in this proceeding contained in limited paragraphs of the testimony, paragraphs 49 and 15 50, and also reflected in an attachment, 16 Exhibit E appendix E, which contains a 17 company P&L.
12 a 9:00 to 5:00 job in 1999. I joined a 13 startup in San Francisco called Listen.com. 14 Q. What were your duties at the time? 15 A. I initially joined as the soul R&B 16 music reviewer, and very quickly after that 17 became managing editor, and eventually the 18 the type that has previously been protected in this proceeding contained in limited 19 paragraphs of the testimony, paragraphs 49 and 19 paragraphs of the testimony, paragraphs 49 and 10 Exhibit E appendix E, which contains a company P&L.
startup in San Francisco called Listen.com. 14 Q. What were your duties at the time? 15 A. I initially joined as the soul R&B 16 music reviewer, and very quickly after that 17 became managing editor, and eventually the 13 this proceeding contained in limited 14 paragraphs of the testimony, paragraphs 49 and 15 50, and also reflected in an attachment, 16 Exhibit E appendix E, which contains a 17 company P&L.
Q. What were your duties at the time? 14 paragraphs of the testimony, paragraphs 49 and 15 A. I initially joined as the soul R&B 15 50, and also reflected in an attachment, 16 music reviewer, and very quickly after that 17 became managing editor, and eventually the 18 paragraphs of the testimony, paragraphs 49 and 19 Exhibit E appendix E, which contains a company P&L.
Q. What were your duties at the time? 14 paragraphs of the testimony, paragraphs 49 and 15 A. I initially joined as the soul R&B 15 50, and also reflected in an attachment, 16 music reviewer, and very quickly after that 16 Exhibit E appendix E, which contains a 17 became managing editor, and eventually the 17 company P&L.
16 music reviewer, and very quickly after that 16 Exhibit E appendix E, which contains a 17 became managing editor, and eventually the 17 company P&L.
17 became managing editor, and eventually the 17 company P&L.
17 became managing editor, and eventually the 17 company P&L.
30 1 1 CH 151 151 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
18 head of the editorial department. 18 The second category, also
MR. LAGUARDA: May I approach, Your 19 information which has been protected
20 Honor? 20 previously in this proceeding in paragraphs 58
21 BY MR. LAGUARDA: 21 and 59, refer to payments or terms of
22 Q. Mr. Quirk, I have placed in front 22 licensing agreements that are in effect
Page 4592 Page 4594
1 of you what has been marked for identification 1 between the company and content providers.
2 as DiMA Trial Exhibit No. 8. Do you have 2 And the third, in paragraph 42, is
3 that? 3 information relating to specific artists and
4 A. I do. 4 specific record labels which the company is
5 Q. And do you recognize it? 5 obligated to seek confidential treatment of,
6 A. 1 do. 6 and the disclosure of which could affect the
.7 Q. Can you tell the court what it is?
8 A. It's my written testimony. 8 content suppliers.
9 Q. And those are the exhibits to your 9 And those reasons are explained in
10 testimony? 10 the confidentiality log.
11 A. Yes. 11 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection
12 Q. Can you please turn to the last 12 to the motion?
page of the written testimony following 13 MR. JOHNSON: Well, yes, only in
page 35. Is that your signature there? 14 the sense that, Your Honor, I think this is
15 A. It is. 15 the first time I have actually seen these.
16 Q. Are the contents of your written 16 Every version of this statement that we have
17 testimony, DiMA Trial Exhibit 8, true? 17 had up to now does not contain any redactions
18 A. They are. 18 or indications that they would be seeking
MR. LAGUARDA: Your Honor, prior to 19 protection with respect to these. So I am
20 moving to admit the exhibits into evidence, I 20 looking at it right now. I was just handed
21 would like to move to apply the protective 21 this.
22 order to certain limited portions of the 22 But having said that, one comment I

F			
	Page 4595		Page 4597
1	have, Your Honor, from my quick review is 1	1 :	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE; We will come
2	find it a little hard to believe that the	2:	to order.
3	number of songs in the catalog is information	: 3 :	The motion is denied as to
4	that needs the protection of this court.	4	paragraph 59 and granted as to all the rest.
5	That's at page 13.	5	MR. LAGUARDA: Thank you, Your
6	MR. LAGUARDA: If I might, we are	6	Honor. May I proceed?
7	not seeking designation we have not	. 7 .	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes.
8	designated that for	8	MR. LAGUARDA: If I may, since that
9	MR. JOHNSON: You have it bracketed	9	was for application of the protective order,
10	here.	10	for clarity in the record I would move DiMA
11	MR. LAGUARDA: I'm sorry. To be	11	Trial Exhibit 7 into evidence 8.
12	clear, when the report was prepared when	12	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: It's a curious
13	the testimony was prepared, all of that	13	way to phrase it, for clarity of the record.
14	information was considered to be confidential,	14:	MR. LAGUARDA: 1 apologize, Your
15	but we have substantially reduced the	15	Honor. If I may-
16	confidentiality request and are only	16	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Either you're
17	requesting it with respect to the information	17	offering the exhibit or you're not.
18	in DiMA Trial Exhibit 9 which does not refer	18	MR. LAGUARDA: 1 am offering the
19	to any of the information about the catalog	19	exhibit into evidence.
20	size.	20	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection
21	MR. JOHNSON: Well, part of my	21	to the exhibit?
22	problem, Your Honor, is the version that I	22	MR. JOHNSON: No, Your Honor.
	Page 4596		Page 4598
1	have had up to now of the written statement	1	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without
2	does not contain any of these redactions. So	2	objection, it's admitted.
3	I'm at a little bit of a disadvantage here.	3	(DiMA Trial Exhibit No. 8 was
4	Having said that, I don't think I have any	4	received into evidence.)
5	objections to the proposed reductions on this	5	MR. LAGUARDA: Thank you.
6	sheet.	6	BY MR. LAGUARDA:
. 7	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: What are the	7	O., Mr. Quirk, if you could please
8	provisions of orders or rules that apply to	8	describe for the court what the major benefits
9	identifying information to be redacted?	9	are of the Rhapsody service.
10	MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I can	10	A. Okay. The major benefits well,
11	only point out to you that with prior	11	to consumers or to song writers it's actually
12	witnesses when I have seen a written	12	the same. The major benefit is that a
13	statement, it usually does contain the	13	subscription service like Rhapsody makes more
14	redactions so that I know in advance what the	14	people listen to more music more often.
15	protections that will be sought are. But as	15	That's the main job of my team is to make sure
16	I've said, Your Honor, I've reviewed the	16	that that's happening.
17	redaction sheet that Mr. Laguarda handed me,	17	There are several sort of there
18	and I don't think I have any objections with	18	are four or five different ways that we make
19	respect to what he proposes.	19	that happen. The first is we have we
20	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We will	20	provide access to a massive catalog of music,
21	recess.	21	so again, as a songwriter and a musician, I
22	(Recess.)	22	released several records on Warner Bros. A

TO

Page 4599

few of them went out of print physically. And it wasn't until this digital music revolution came about that those records were able to be back in print and accessible to people again.

that we provide.

So unlike in physical retail where only a certain subset of all the music ever recorded can be afforded to be stocked on shelves, it's possible in the digital world, and in a service like Rhapsody, to make, ultimately, everything that's ever been recorded available sort of at the click of a mouse.

When you have access to that much music, it means that people can -- people end up listening to more than they would otherwise. But, again, it's my team's job to make sure that they do that because when you provide people with -- we're up to almost 5 million tracks as of this morning in the service. And when there's that much music, it's easy for people to get paralyzed with too much choice.

So a lot of what we do is guide

Page 4601

is portability. The service — you know, it's what pundits call the celestial jukebox. The service actually lives in the sky, metaphorically. And our ultimate goal is to get the music to you wherever you happen to be. So that, you know, even if you're just with a friend in a car, ultimately on a plane — we're not quite there yet — as soon as you think of a song, you should be able to get to it and play it and listen to it, and from there to more and more.

So as a songwriter and a musician and a performer, you know, that thrills me, the thought that making the music available actually makes people listen to more music.

Q. In your testimony you explain music adventure at paragraph 33. Can you walk the court through what that music adventure is as an example of what you're talking about?

A. Sure. So this is — in the written testimony in paragraph 33 it sort of walks you through a specific case of what I was just

Page 4600

people toward the specific music that they're going to like so they don't get overwhelmed with too much choice. So that people might come into the service looking for one particular hit, you know, that they heard on the radio the other day, and from there they — you know, they might start out thinking they're only going to listen to one song, but we turn them on to other music based on what we know they're listening to. So the access and the recommendation and navigation that comes with that is key to the service

And then also the fact that it's available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days a year whenever you want to hear music. It doesn't matter if it's after midnight on a Sunday night. Our record store is never closed. Our service is never closed. It's just always there.

And the final piece of that puzzle

Page 4602

talking about. And the example that I used in the written testimony was a hit song a couple of years ago by a group called Gnarls Barkley, "Crazy." And what we do with it in Rhapsody is we don't just give you the music. We provide a lot of information about that music. And we provide paths from that music to other music, which is how we get people listening to more and more music more and more often.

So in this example what happens is somebody comes into the system to hear that song they heard on the radio. They search for Crazy or they search for Gnarls Barkley. They end up on the Gnarls Barkley artist page within our system. And as they're listening to the song, we provide a lot of information about that song, about the artist.

So in this example, the listener is hearing Crazy and reading the Gnarls Barkley artist biography from which they learn that Gnarls Barkley isn't a person; it's actually a due consisting of two people, a producer named

21 (Pages 4599 to 4602)

Page 4603 Page 4605 Danger Mouse and a singer/rapper named Cee-Lo. 1 1 key albums. They could go to Woody Herman; 2 There is a convenient link right there. You 2 they could go to Count Basie. 3 3 can click on Cee-Lo's name and go to Cee-Lo's And this is the experience that we 4 artist page where you will find many other 41 repeat daily for, you know, hundreds of 5 5 albums by Cee-Lo. So if you liked Crazy, you thousands of users where people come in 6 get turned on to Cee-Lo's body of work. 6 looking for one song and end up listening to 7 7 In this example, the listener multiple songs. 8 selects a greatest hits album by Cee-Lo and 8 One of my - the favorite parts of 9 starts listening to that. And while this 9 my job is when I get e-mails or phone calls 10 listener is enjoying Cee-Lo's greatest hits, 10 from friends or acquaintances saying, dam it, 11 they look at some playlists featuring tracks 11 Quirk: I thought I just wanted to listen to 12 by Cee-Lo. And in this case, the playlist 12 that one song, and before I knew it, two hours 13 that the user finds wasn't even created by my 13 had gone by. 14 team; it was created by another user. It's a 14 Q. Does your experience and background 15 playlist that's in the system called Sunday 15 as an artist or a songwriter inform your view 16 Morning Cleaning that features some music by 16 about the benefits or the excitement? 17 17 Cee-Lo, but also music by Duke Ellington, Fela A. Yes. Absolutely. The -- you know, 18 18 Kuti. the most exciting thing to me about Rhapsody 19 And this customer decides, Oh, I 19 is not just that people -- we provide an 20 have heard of Duke Ellington, but I've never 20 opportunity for people to listen to more 21 actually heard Duke Ellington: I am a 21 music, but that simply making the music 22 Rhapsody subscriber. All I have to do is 22 available and providing the navigation that we Page 4604 Page 4606 1 click on this button; it doesn't cost me 1 do throughout that music, across that music, 2 2 anything extra. All it costs me to listen to sort of concretely changes the way people 3 3 experience the music. They do listen to more this new music is my time; I am going to take 4 4 and more varied types of music. a chance on Duke Ellington. So there are some figures that are 5 5 So this person goes to the Duke 6 6 in the written testimony that I use to Ellington artist page. But, again, Duke 7 demonstrate this fact. And -you know, as a 7. Ellington has dozens of albums available in 8 our system. If this user is new to Duke semi-successful récording artist myself. 8 9 9 Ellington, he or she doesn't know exactly someone who had more success than the average 10 musician ever does -- but, you know, not 10 where to start, again, one of the services my 11 Madonna-level success, it's particularly team provides is a helpful artist sampler, 11 12 basically the key tracks that we've selected, 12 exciting for me because as we add more and 13 13 more music into the system, what we've found our jazz expert has selected, by Duke 14 is very much an "if you build it they will 14 Ellington saying this is where to start. 15 15 So they play this playlist of key come" scenario where it doesn't seem to matter 16 how much more music we put in the system; the Duke Ellington tracks. It starts with Satin 16 17 17 same percentage of it continues to get Doll and it ends with In a Sentimental Mood. 18 listened to. It's fascinating just how much 18 While the customer is listening to this music, people listen to and how much they discover 19 19 he or she could click on the genre that we 20 20 have classified Duke Ellington in - in this music. 21 And the discovery piece is the key 21 case, it's Big Band - and could end up on the

22 (Pages 4603 to 4606)

thing to me, as a musician, a songwriter and

22

Big Band genre page, looking at key artists,

22

7.

Page 4607

music fan, that makes me excited about the service and my job, the service we provide, in that people come in, you know, looking for a hit in a lot of cases. But the service only has value to them if it turns them on to more music.

So the statistics I was talking about in a sort of big box retailer, such as Wal-Mart or Best Buy, they don't stock a lot of different music. They just don't have that much shelf space for it. So my understanding is that about 48.5 percent of their sales come from the top 100 artists.

If you drop the price and expand the selection in an online retail environment, such as iTunes or downloads that you can purchase through Rhapsody, that percentage drops.

So 33 percent of the music that people purchase in an online music store comes from the top 100 artists. So that shows that people are sort of expanding their horizons;

Page 4609

it, again, because all it costs them to access new music is their time, and they demonstrate a voracious appetite to listen to and download and experience more music.

Q. Why is that important to you not as a songwriter or artist, but as a businessperson?

A. Well, as a businessperson, it's important to me and it's — the reason it's the mandate for my team is to make sure people are always listening to more and more varied music because people will only remain subscribers if they're getting continual value out of the service.

So if they only listen to a few songs and they listen to those same songs over and over again, there is no reason to keep paying us a monthly fee, whereas if every day, every week, every month, every year we're exposing them to new music that they wouldn't have known about otherwise, the service becomes valuable to them, and actually it

Page 4608

they're listening to more and more varied music.

If you go to peer-to-peer networks where the selection is as limitless as you can possibly get and the price is as low as you can possibly get because it's free, because they're not paying anybody for it, 28 percent of the files that are traded in a given month come from the top 100 artists. So, again, as the selection expands and the price lowers, people become more adventurous.

And the thing that I am proudest of in Rhapsody is that we actually beat peer to peer for music discovery. In a given month in Rhapsody we have over 100 million plays of—or accesses of songs in a given month, and 24 percent of those come from—less than 24 percent come from the top 100 artists. So this demonstrates to me that when people get into a service that doesn't just provide the music, but provides you a means of navigating through it, people actually take advantage of

Page 4610

becomes worth paying for even though all the
 music we're providing is available completely
 for free elsewhere on peer-to-peer services.

Q. One of the things I wanted to ask you about, running to page 19, if you could, tables 2 and 3 — do you see those?

A. 1 do.

Q. What are they?

A. They — well, they're demonstrations of what people call the long tail phenomenon. They show you the number of plays of — the top chart is the top 100 tracks and the bottom chart is the top 1,000 tracks — based on popularity of the track.

Q. Does this reflect or represent what you were testifying to?

A. It absolutely does. It shows you that, you know, the -- say the top 10 or the top 100 hundred tracks, as you can see, receive a high amount of plays because there are lots of people listening to them once or

23 (Pages 4607 to 4610)

Page 4611 Page 4613 sure -- as I mentioned before, the 365 -twice. But as you go farther down what's 1 | 2 called the long tail, you know, the total 2 having it available 24 hours a day, 7 days a 3 week, 365 days a year when, potentially, 3 number of plays per track or accesses per 4 track drops, but it doesn't drop to zero. So 4 millions of people are accessing the system at 5 the same time and playing songs and 5 it shows you that once you make this music 6 6 downloading songs, building the technological available -- a lot of this music you couldn't infrastructure to allow that is an incredibly 7 7 even find in a store; you would never hear on 8 expensive undertaking, and it's not an expense 8 the radio. If you give people a means to 9 that you just do once and it's done. It's 9 access it, they take advantage of that. 10 10 something you constantly have to maintain and O. Do you have any idea where your 11 11 music would be on that? 12 And just as one illustrative 12 A. I do. I check it every month. I 13 13 believe Too Much Joy is hovering around the example, you know, we traditionally see a big 14 spike on Christmas Day when people buy new 14 between 4,000 and 5,000 in artist rank in a 15 Rhapsody-enabled devices or get Rhapsody 15 given month. Wonderlick, unfortunately, is 16 subscriptions as gifts, and everybody runs to 16 much farther down than that. 17 their computer and starts playing around with 17 O. How does that compare to 18 18 it. So we see a huge spike in usage on that traditional physical retail? 19 19 A. Well, in traditional physical 20 Just last year, I would say the 20 retail, everybody from, say - it depends on 21 bulk of our development team spent at least 21 just how big the store would be. But it would 22 six, if not eight weeks, doing little beyond 22 be very rare to find people outside the top, Page 4614 Page 4612 say, 1,000, 2,000, at the max top 5,000 1 preparing for Christmas Day to make sure that 1 2 the system wouldn't fall over on Christmas 2 artists. 3 We go from - we have close to 3 Day. 4 Of Christmas Day - of course, the 4 250,000 different artists in the system right activity we saw in 2006 and 2007 on Christmas 5 now. So -- I'm bad at math; I don't know what 5 б 6 Day is where we eventually want the service to the exact percentage would be, but I'm 7. be - we just want that level of activity to .7. thinking it's over 90 persent of that just be a typical Wednesday in March. So it's a -wouldn't be available in a store and you would 8 8 9 it requires constant investment to keep the 9 never hear it on radio, or almost never hear 10 system up and running. 10 it on radio. And the problem for us is that the 11 Q. So you've described the access, and 11 12 service is only valuable to the extent that we 12 I want to ask you, from your understanding, how expensive it is to provide this sort of a 13 have exactly what you're looking for exactly 13 14 14 when you're looking for it. So all we have to service? 15 do is fall over once or not have that one, you 15 A. It is incredibly expensive. It's 16 "lose sleep at night over it," "lose sleep at 16 know, Duke Ellington song that you're looking 17 17 for -- some people start with Duke Ellington night about it" expensive. 18 and end up at Crazy - and the service 18 The written testimony breaks down 19 suddenly loses its value for you, and we lose 19 some of the details of the different areas where the expenses are. But sort of as a 20 20 subscribers. 21 Q. In your written testimony you 21 general theme, just providing that large a 22 22 catalog of music to a mass audience and making discuss facing competition in paragraph 44.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

Ź

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Page 4615

Can you summarize for the court what you view as your primary competition?

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A. To my mind, our primary competition is unlicensed peer-to-peer music services because they provide a wider catalog than we do at a lower price. And as, you know, a songwriter and a musician, that offends me somewhat because nobody is getting paid for any of that use.

So my team's job and my company's job, Rhapsody's job, is to provide a better experience than peer to peer. We need to make it easier to use, more valuable. We need to do things that peer to peer can't in order to convince people that it's worth paying money for music and for access to music in this manner.

- Q. When you say you need to do those things, is there an expense involved with it?
- A. Absolutely. I mean, marketing, again, is a huge expense partly because what Rhapsody is trying to do is to a large extent

Page 4617

- wherever you are. It started out on the PC,
- 2 but there's a limited audience for listening
- 3 to music on your computer. We need to get it 4
 - into people's stereos, onto people's home
- 5 entertainment centers, in their pockets on
- 6 devices, eventually on mobile phones and in 7
- cars. And -- so explaining to people that
- 8 music as a service is different than,
- 9 quote/unquote, owning music is incredibly 10

challenging.

One of the sort of themes that we combat in the press a lot is the notion that. at iTunes, you buy music and own it forever whereas, through a subscription service like Rhapsody, you're simply renting music. It's a very convenient shorthand that I think is just mind-bogglingly wrong. Rhapsody is not a music rental service. It's -- it's a service that provides access to anything you can think of whenever you want. It's not like, oh, you rent a video from Blockbuster and then you return it after a day or two. The music

change the way people think about music, change the way they think about experiencing music.

So we don't just have to advertise the fact that our service exists; we have to advertise what it does and why it's valuable. It's not something that you can explain, you know, in 30 seconds. It requires constant reinforcement.

- Q. What do you mean by change the way people think about music?
- A. Well, the primary value proposition of Rhapsody is that it's music as a service. What you're paying for isn't necessarily a specific track or album or set of tracks or albums. You're paying for access to music, so again, 24/7 access to music, so that whatever you think about wherever you are, whenever it happens to be you can get to it right away.

You know, our big goal, as I think I mentioned before, is to make the experience as portable as possible so that it follows you

Page 4618

- doesn't go away. It's just always there whenever you want it.
 - Q. One of the things, going back to competition, that you present in your written testimony is the issue of pricing and price increases. I wanted to ask you if you can summarize for the court the arguments against raising price that are presented in your testimony.

A. Well, that are presented in the testimony - the general idea is that we are -- it's a new business, it's the early days of this new business, and we are competing with music for free. So it is very difficult for us to wean people off of piracy. off of music for free if the price point is too high. And we absolutely need the flexibility to experiment with different ways of providing the service, different price points.

To the extent that, you know, there are sort of set licensing costs that we have

25 (Pages 4615 to 4618)

Page 4621 Page 4619 relationship with, that's the way to do it, to pay, it -- you know, that places a floor 1 2 So people are used to paying their 7 below which we can't go in terms of offering mobile phone provider for a service. If they 3 this service to potential customers. And I am could add on Rhapsody for a, you know, small 4 convinced that the way to truly get scale in 5 5 price on top of that, we could go from, say, this business is to become way more flexible б you know, hundreds of thousands or low 6 in how we offer it. 7 And we can -- you know, the general 7 millions of subscribers to tens of millions or even hundreds of millions of subscribers. 8 8 idea is that there's more money to be made for 9 9 everybody. The problem is if we, you know --Q. Let me ask you, are you aware of 10 the rates proposed by DiMA in this proceeding? 10 if we try to ensure that we are paying content 11 11 owners exactly what they're getting in the 12 | Q.| Do you support those rates? 12 physical world and try to map that into the 13 A. I do. 13 digital world, that completely ignores the 14 Q. Can you tell the court why? 14 fact that in the digital world everything is 15 already available for free. We didn't create 15 A. Well, what I learned in my own 16 that reality. We're just trying to combat 16 experience as a songwriter and musician is 17 1.7 that the -- a percentage of revenue model that reality. 18 works, and there's -- the example for this, 18. O. Since the filing of your testimony, 19 19 has Rhapsody experimented with or implemented you know, in the music business, to my mind, 20 is the way broadcast -- traditional broadcast 20 different pricing? 21 A. We have. 21 radio works right now. It works great for 22 publishers and songwriters, doesn't work for 22 Q. Can you tell the court about that? Page 4622 Page 4620 1 A. Sure. In -- I believe it was labels and performers right now because 1 2 they're not getting a piece of it. 2 April of '07, so close to a year ago, we raised the price of our Rhapsody Unlimited 3 But the publishers and the 3 songwriters are getting a piece of radio 4 offering. So that's not the portable piece 5 revenue. Radio can play whatever they want as that gives you the portable conditional б long as it has been commercially released, downloads. It's just the access to the They can play it as often as they want. They service sort of on demand. We raised the 8 don't have to worry - you know, the meter 8 price from 9.99 a month to 12.99 a month. 9 isn't ticking up the more music they play or 9 Q. And why was that done? 10 the more different music they play. They 10 A. Well, at the time, it was the most don't need to go out and negotiate for an 11 rational business move we could make, given 12 individual license from every single content 12 our existing costs at that time. 13 provider. They're just able to do it. Q. What do you believe is the next 13 14 Most of the money that I saw as 14 rational step, then? 15 a - you know, in my career as -- the ten A. To my mind, the next rational step 15 16 years that I spent doing music 24/7, most of 16 is to experiment with far lower prices. 1 17 the music [sic] that I saw came from 17 think that's how you get scale in this 18 publishing. So I know that works, 18 business. 19 And, more importantly, in an 19 I also think that to the extent 20 that we can bundle the service with other environment like this, what it does is it 20 21 21 reasonably allocates both the risks and the offerings, people who -- you know, services benefits of trying to start a business like 22 that people already have an existing billing

Page 4623 Page 4625 this, It says that it doesn't matter, you 1 one type of portable device, it might be in 1 2 know, how much money you're guaranteed in 2 one bit rate; another device might support a 3 different bit rate. 3 advance to get if the companies don't actually 4 make any money. So 90 percent of zero is far 4 So we have to make multiple copies 5 of the same song just so that however 5 less than, say, 4 percent of 1, you know, 6 6 So the way I look at it, this is different subscribers want to access it, we 7 7 can provide it to them. But all those copies, our proposal is the best way to grow the music 8 8 business. You know, I mean, it's the metaphor in a very real sense, are ephemeral in that 9 9 nobody is actually accessing that -- you know, everybody uses, but it's making the pie a lot 10 10 that particular copy of the song; it's just bigger. Q. Would you consider the DiMA 11 part of the delivery mechanism. As we're 11 12 downloading a song to somebody's computer, as 12 proposal to be a rate cut? they're transferring it from their computer to 13 A. Absolutely not. It's a completely 13 different way of calculating what's owed. 14 their device, multiple copies are being made. 14 15 O. How so? 15 But the only one that really matters in an 16 economic sense is the final one that the user 16 A. It's a percentage of revenue model 17 17 as opposed to saying, oh, okay, for every is accessing and listening to. 18 Q. You testified earlier that you 18 track you owe this many pennies. 19 receive royalty checks still? Q. Are you familiar with the terms 19 20 that DiMA has proposed? 20 A. Yes. 21 21 A. lam. Q. Performance and mechanical? 22 22 Q. Do you support them? Page 4624 Page 4626 1 1 O. So from the perspective of a A. I do. 2 businessperson and a recipient of royalties, 2 Q. I want to cover them just briefly. 3 Can you explain why it's important to ensure 3 do you have an understanding of the DiMA proposal for defining revenues on which the that all copies made in the process of 4 4 delivering a download are covered by the 5 rate would be applied? 5 6 6 A. I do. license? 7: 7 Q. Can you explain that to the court? A. Yes. The reason it's important is 8 A. Sure. Well, it sort of breaks down 8 that in order to provide the service that we ģ 9 do, multiple copies of every single track in in two ways. The first is - and I forget 10 the system have to be made just to enable 10 what the exact legal term is. I think it's getting that final copy to an end customer. 11 applicable fees, something like that. So for 1.1 And all those multiple copies in between, from 12 a permanent download we basically say, okay, 12 the record company delivering us a version of 13 it's a percentage of the price that is paid 13 14 for that particular track. And, of course, as the song, us making an archive copy of it, us 14 15 then encoding that track into several 15 the price varies, the percentage - you know, 16 different formats so that if you're trying to 16 the actual revenue that you see from that 17 varies depending on the price. 17 access the track from a mobile phone, for instance, you're going to get it in one --18 For the limited or conditional 18 19 what we call a codec or format. 19 downloads, the way it works is - I will just 20 20 If you're accessing it from a PC, use a single subscriber to illustrate it. If 21 21 it could be in a completely different format. we charge a single subscriber, say, \$15 a

27 (Pages 4623 to 4626)

month and that subscriber then accesses 100

22

If you access it as a conditional download to

22

	*	•	*
	Page 4627		Page 4629
1:	songs, and 50 of those songs they stream	1	THE WITNESS: 1 believe there are,
2	on-demand and 50 of those songs they download	2	JUDGE ROBERTS: How so?
3	as limited downloads perhaps they transfer	3	THE WITNESS: Well, in that case,
4	to a portable device we say, okay,	4	the Apple has taken in some money. It
5	50 percent of that customer's use was applied	5	would be reasonable to say, okay, then let's
6	to conditional downloads. So we take	6	look at how much music gets used in that
7	50 percent of the \$15 that the customer gave	7	scenario. What was the price the person paid?
8	us, and we say \$7.50 from that customer is	8	And you could divvy up, based on the amount of
9	what we allocate across the conditional	9	music that was used, what percentage of it
10	downloads. So me, as a songwriter and	10	gets calculated.
11	publisher, if 10 of those 50 songs happily	11	JUDGE ROBERTS: But they didn't pay
12	were Too Much Joy songs	12	for any of the music. They got a year's free
13	Q. Optimistically.	13	worth of subscription.
14	A. No, it's not optimistically. I	14	THE WITNESS: But they well,
1	play Too Much Joy ten times a month.	15	they got a year's subscription and a device
15 16	If ten of those songs were Too Much	16	for a price.
17	Joy songs, what would happen is we would take	17	JUDGE ROBERTS: Yes. How would you
l .	the percentage, which is 4 percent, we would	18	allocate to the device?
18 19	multiply it by the number of Too Much Joy	19	THE WITNESS: Well, I don't know,
20	songs as the numerator, which is ten. The	20	because that's not the business that we're in
21	denominator would be the total number of	21	JUDGE ROBERTS: So in that
22	conditional downloads, which is 50. So it	22	situation, you wouldn't know how to decide
	Page 4628		Page 4630
1	would be 4 percent times 10 over 50 times	1	what the revenue should be and how the
2	\$7.50, the 50 percent of the customer's	2	4 percent rate should apply in that instance?
3	subscription fee that's attributable to	3	THE WITNESS: No, I wouldn't know .
4	conditional downloads.	4	exactly how you would break it down, I don't.
5	MR. LAGUARDA: Thank you. I have	5	JUDGE ROBERTS: Thank you.
6	no further questions.	6	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: How does the
1.7	JUDGE ROBERTS: I have a question	7	rate that you support do it?
8	for you, Mr. Quirk. You were describing how	8	THE WITNESS: Well, as I said
9	you calculate revenue. Let us say that	9	before, you know, to my mind, there is music
10	iTunes, in order to sell the latest, newest	10	use occurring. Some of the price that is paid
11	version of the iPod, says that if you purchase	11	is attributable to the device and some of the
12	this new iPod, we will give you one year free	12	price that is paid is attributable to the
13	subscription to the music in the iTunes Store.	13	music that is going on that device.
14	What would be the revenue	14	So just as we say we're taking in
15	determination in that situation? Now,	15	\$15 from a customer for our Rhapsody service,
16	obviously, the new iPod is more expensive than	16	but not all of that \$15 goes to the
17	the old one, presumably because it's better,	17	conditional downloads, you know, to my mind,
18	and it comes with this terrific one year free	18	there is a clear-cut way of saying, okay, this
19	subscription to the music. Is there any	19	is the percentage of the downloaded music that
20	revenues in that situation that are	20	you apply the calculation to.
21	attributable for purposes of paying a license	21	I imagine in that scenario that
22	fee?	22	there would be a way to make a similar

28 (Pages 4627 to 4630)

Page 4631 Fage 4633 repeat the question? 1 determination, but again, that's not the 1 JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Yes. How would 2 2 business that we're in, so I don't know how to 3 you say that your situation, then, compares to 3 say exactly how you would do it. 4 a service that provides permanent downloads? 4 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Does the rate 5 Should they have to pay a higher rate because 5 that you support do that? 6 they don't have to go through all these things 6 THE WITNESS: I believe it can, 7 that you're suggesting that you go through? 7 yes. 8 8 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Does it? THE WITNESS: 1 think if you're 9 THE WITNESS: I don't know that 9 only in that business, there is a case to be 10 made that -- that you don't have the similar 10 it - no, it does not break down here is how 11 you would react to that specific situation. 11 risks that somebody trying to do both has. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: One further 12 JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: How does that 12 13 square with the DiMA proposal which doesn't 13 question prior to this - just generally. In 14 distinguish between the two? 14 your written testimony here at paragraph 8 15 THE WITNESS: It does not square 15 when you're talking about the type of subscription service you have and when you're 16 precisely with that, 16 JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Thank you. 17 suggesting a reason why, I take it - or I'm 17 18 inferring from this paragraph that you should 18 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: 19 19 pay a lower rate. You say, "Subscription Cross-examination? 20 MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor. 20 services provide an alternative to unlicensed 21 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 21 file trading, but the notion of paying for 22 22 BY MR. JOHNSON: access to music rather than owning music is Page 4632 Page 4634 new to consumers. This attempts to change 1 O. Good afternoon, Mr. Quirk. My name 1 2 is -- good morning. My name is Jeh Johnson. 2 decades of attitudes about obtaining, 3 and I represent the copyright owners. I will 3 listening to and sharing music." 4 be asking you some questions. ğ And I take it that you're 5 5 First of all, could you look at suggesting that there is a lot of investment 6 6 and time that has to take place and, your written statement in front of you, 7 Exhibit 7, please. And if you look at the 7 therefore, that's why you feel you should pay 8 8 this lower rate, or one of the reasons why; is last page, your signature line, you will see 9 9 that the particular document that you have in that correct? 10 front of you is dated November 17, '06; is 10 THE WITNESS: It is, although I 11 11 would frame it slightly differently. I would that correct? 12 12 say it's why we believe we can't set the rates A. Yes. 13 13 artificially high, because it would inhibit us Q. That's the day you signed that 14 particular document in front of you? 14 from being able to make that change in 15 15 consumers' minds. A. Yes. JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: How does that 16 Q. Okay. And do you recall that you 16 also submitted or resubmitted another 17 compare, then - would you suggest that that 17 18 argues that you should have a lower rate than 18 statement, identical in nature, in April '07? 19 someone who is providing access to the 19 A. Me personally? 20 20 O. Yes. ownership of music, such as a service 21 A. I don't recall that, 21 providing permanent downloads? 22 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you Q. You don't recall signing another

29 (Pages 4631 to 4634)

	1		
	Page 4635		Page 4637
1	statement in April '07?	1	Q. "Setting a royalty rate that is too
2	A. I don't.	2	high would spell the end of our business
3	MR, JOHNSON: Your Honor, may I	3	model. An excessive rate would severely
4	approach the witness?	4	disrupt the digital music marketplace and
5	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes, sir.	5	result in a fatal loss of consumer
6	BY MR. JOHNSON:	6	confidence."
7	Q. Mr. Quirk, I am going to show	7	Do you see that?
8	you	- 8	A. Yes,
9	MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I do not	9	Q. Do you stand by that statement as
10	have extra copies of the particular version I	10	you sit here today?
11	want to show the witness. I hope that's	11	A. Ido.
12	acceptable. I just want to see if I can	12	Q. All right: Now, you refer to an
13	refresh his recollection about having signed a	13	excessive rate in paragraph 64 and say that an
14	subsequent statement in April '07.	14	excessive rate would be fatal would be a
15	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: It's not in	15	fatal loss result in a fatal loss of
16	compliance with our rules. Mr. Laguarda?	16	consumer confidence, but you don't put a
17	MR. LAGUARDA: Your Honor, if I can	17	number on that paragraph. And I'm asking you,
18	eliminate the confusion, the filing process in	18	would 15 percent, in your view, of revenue
19	this proceeding —	19	from limited downloads be fatal be a fatal
20	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Are you going	20	loss of consumer confidence?
21	to testify, Mr. Laguarda?	21:	A. I don't know that I would say I
22	MR. LAGUARDA: No, Your Honor. 1	22	don't know what the exact rate that is too
	Page 4636		Page 4638
1	was	1	high is.
2	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Don't.	2	Q. Well, do you know what the
3	Mr. Johnson?	31	copyright owners' proposal is with respect to
4	BY MR. JOHNSON:	4:	limited downloads?
5	Q. Mr. Quirk, you do not recall	5:	A. Not off the top of my head, no.
б	signing another statement on April 6, '07?	6	Q. If I represented to you that it was
1.7	A. I don't recall it. I'm not saying	7-:-	15 percent of licensees' receipts of limited
8	I didn't. I just don't recall it.	181	downloads, would 15 percent break the bank, in
9	Q. If you look at page 64 of your	9	your view?
10	statement, please. I'm sorry. Paragraph 64,		A. At this point in time, given
11	page 34. The statement reads as follows:	11	Rhapsody's business, my answer is probably
12	"The market for legitimate digital music	12	yes, it would.
13	services is already fragile,"	13:	Q. It's your testimony that a rate of
14	Do you see that?	14	15 percent would result in a fatal loss in
15	A. Yes.	15	consumer confidence?
16	Q. Okay. And is it your belief that	16	A. No. My testimony is that a
17	the market for legitimate digital music	17	15 percent loss would be fatal to my business.
18	services was fragile as of November 2006?	18	Q. Well, you say in paragraph 64, "An
19	A. Yes.	19	excessive rate would severely disrupt the
20	Q. Do you still consider it fragile as	20	digital music marketplace and result in a
21	you sit here today?	21	fatal loss of consumer confidence."
22	A. Absolutely.	22	I am asking you to put a number on

30 (Pages 4635 to 4638)

L	Cupitui Isapsi		
	Page 4639		Page 4641
1	what an excessive rate is. My question is, in	1	A. I believe a fair and reasonable
2	your view, are you testifying that 15 percent	2	rate is necessary for our business to survive,
3	is an excessive rate, to use your phrase, that	3	and I believe 4 percent is a fair and
4	would result in a fatal loss of consumer	4	reasonable rate,
5	confidence?	5	Q. And is 4 percent necessary for your
6	A. When you frame it that way, yes, I	5	business to survive as opposed to 5 or 6 or
7	am.	7	8 percent?
8	Q. We're talking about the mechanical	8	A. I don't know that I can say it's
9	royalty rate payable to songwriters and	9	necessarily that figure.
10	publishers, correct?	10	Q. It could be 8, could be 9?
11	A. Yes.	11	A. Reasonable people can disagree,
12	Q. All right. And you know that you	12	yes.
13	pay a lot more in royalty rates to record	13	Q. Okay. Now, let's look at
14	companies with respect to sound recording	14	paragraph 58, please - last sentence of
15	royalties, right?	15	paragraph 58, page 32 of Exhibit 7. We're
16	A. I don't know what the exact	16	going to go through paragraph 58 in a lot more
17	percentage is, but	17	detail, but in paragraph 58 you talk about
18	O. You know it's a lot higher than	18	royalty rates. And in the last sentence you
19	15 percent, right?	19	say, "Royalty payments take up the bulk of our
20	A. I know we paid more to record	20	product margins."
21	companies than to publishers.	21	Do you see that?
22	Q. By multiples of 15 percent,	22	A. Yes.
-			
	Page 4640		Page 4642
1	correct?	1	Q. Now, when you said, "Royalty rates
2	A. I'm not disputing it. I don't know	2	take up the bulk of our product margins,"
3	if for a fact.	3	you're not referring to mechanical royalty
4	Q. And those deals were deals that you	4	rates paid to songwriters and publishers, are
5	made with the record companies beginning in	5	you?
6	2002, correct?	.6	A. Well, for - it depends what you're
7	A. Yes.	7	saying. We're including all royalty rates in
B	Q. And I assume that, in 2002, your	8	that statement.
9	business was a lot more fragile then than it	9	Q. Well, the royalty rates that you're
10	is today, right, given how far you have come?	10	referring to in that statement are sound
11	A. It is - I would say it has been	11	recording royalties paid to the record
12	fairly consistently fragile.	12	companies pursuant to the agreements you've
13	Q. Now, you understand that the DiMA	13	made with them, right?
14	proposal is for 4 percent of a licensee's	14	A. It includes sound recording
15	receipts, correct?	15	payments, yes.
16	A. Yes.	16.	Q. In fact, that is the overwhelming
17	Q. With respect to limited downloads?	17	majority of royalty payments you that make?
18	A. Yes.	18	A. They are the majority, yes.
19	Q. Okay. And is it your testimony	19	Q. So when you complain about royalty
20	that a rate of 4 percent, that low, is	20	payments, in paragraph 58, taking up the bulk
	and the state of t	21	of your product margins, you're referring to
21 22	necessary for your business to survive, 4 percent?	22	the sound recording royalty payments that you

<u> </u>	Page 4643	Page 4705
1	make pursuant to the deals you made with the	BY MR. JOHNSON:
2	record companies, right?	2 Q. Mr. Quirk, could you look at
3	A. We're referring to all royalty	3 paragraph 58, please?
4	payments that we have to make.	4 A. In the annual report?
5	Q. Well, let's look at Exhibit E,	5 Q. Of your written statement. By the
6	please, of your statement. And I am going to	6 way, something else in your written statement
7	have specific questions with regard to	7 while you're going there. I believe in your
8	Exhibit E.	8 written statement — and I don't think this is
9	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Laguarda?	
10	MR. LAGUARDA: Your Honor, if the	10 your catalog; is that right?
11	witness is going to testify about Exhibit E,	11 A. Correct.
12	and Mr. Johnson has represented the questions	12 Q. And as of 2006 you had 3.3 million
13	will be detailed, that exhibit is protected	13 songs in your catalog, right?
14	pursuant to the court's ruling, and I would	14 A. I believe so, yes.
15	ask that the provisions of the protective	15 Q. And I think you said this morning
16	order be applied for the duration of the	that as you sit here on February 26, 2008, you
17	questioning.	have 5 million songs in your catalog?
18	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection?	
19	MR. JOHNSON: No objection, Your	19 Q. Okay. So in terms of songs in your
20	Honor.	20 catalog, that's definitely a growing business,
21	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without	21 right?
22	objection, the motion is granted. Rather than	22 A. It's a growing catalog.
	Page 4644	
,	people leaving at this time, when it's noon,	
2	we will recess for one hour.	2 please, of your written statement, page 31,
3	(Recess.)	3 you state, "Our business operates within an
4	(1100000)	4 overly complex and unnecessarily cumbersome
5		5 licensing scheme."
6		6 Do you see that?
7	A Section of the Control of the Cont	7 A. 1do.
8		8 Q. Now, we have already established
9		9 that mechanical royalties for permanent
10		10 downloads of songs that you sell are paid by
11		11 the record companies, right?
12		12 A. Yes.
13		13 Q. You don't have to pay those
14		14 royalties. The record companies take care of
15		15 that for you, right?
16		16 A. Correct.
17		17 Q. And we have already established, I
18		18 think, that with respect to limited downloads
		19 you don't have a licensing agreement with
19		20 Harry Fox or the publishers or the songwriters
19 20		20 Hatty Pox of the publishers of the soughthers
1		21 right now, right? 22 A. Correct.

Γ	Page 4707		Page 4709
1	O. There is a blanket agreement	1	30 percent, were you?
2	pursuant to which you pay an initial monthly	2	A. The handcuffing that I am referring
3	fee, which is referred to in paragraph 58, and	3	to in my testimony is our inability to price
4	a monthly five-figure fee, right?	4	lower.
5	A. Yes.	5	Q. Inability to price lower?
6	Q. And that's regarded as interim in	6	A. Correct.
7	nature, right?	7	Q. So there's nothing to prevent you
8	A. I believe so, yes.	. 8	from pricing higher?
9	Q. And you know the amounts specified	9	A. Well, only the fact that people
10	in this paragraph are far below what you're	10	won't pay, but there's nothing in our
	likely to end up having to actually pay,	11	agreements that prevents us from pricing
11 12	right?	12	higher, no.
13	A. I don't know that.	13	Q. Okay. Now, in your statement in
14		14	paragraph 22 you use the phrase
15	interim arrangements, right?	15	"platform-agnostic." "While most of the
16	A. Yes.	16	individual product offerings described above
17	O. You don't know the estimate that	17	require a PC for access, our ultimate goal is
18	has been accrued?	18	to make Rhapsody platform-agnostic."
19	A. Correct.	19	Do you see that?
20	Q. Now, you stated at paragraph 57	20	A. Yes.
21	that - and here you're referring to consumer	21	Q. "In order to be a true celestial
22	price for your service - "We have seen that	22	jukebox, the Rhapsody service needs to be
	Page 4708		Page 4710
۱.,	-	7	
1	there is that there is a price for our	1	accessible anywhere our customers find
2	service above which customers are not willing	2	themselves, not just at their computers, but
3	to pay. As it is now, we are all but		in their living rooms, in their cars, at the
4	handouffed in our ability to price creatively	4	beach, on airplanes and on trains."
5	to attract subscribers."	5 6	Now, my question is, in the context of submitting this written statement for
6	Do you see that? A. I do.	7	or submitting this written statement for purposes of setting a mechanical royalty rate,
1	Q. Okay. Now, if you look at	8	why did you tell us that?
8	paragraph 14 of this statement you will see	9	A. We're trying to explain what the
10	that the price for Rhapsody Unlimited as of	10	nature of the service is.
11	the point when you signed this in November '06	11	Q. Is there any other purpose? Are
12	was a monthly fee of \$9.99, right?	12	you trying to demonstrate that there is some
13	A. Correct.	13	mountain you have to climb in order to get to
14	Q. And you subsequently you have	14	where you want to be?
15	raised the monthly fee for Rhapsody Unlimited	15	A. Yes. That's a fair that's a
16	to \$12.99, right?	16	fair statement.
17	A. Correct.	17	Q. And you said this morning that your
18	Q. And I think you said this morning	18	ultimate goal was to get music to people
19	that you did that in April 2007, right?	19	wherever they happened to be?
20	A. Correct.	20	A. Correct.
21	Q. So you weren't handcuffed to be	21	Q. All right, Now, you said this in
22	able to raise the price for Rhapsody Unlimited	22	November '06, right? And in August 2007 your
<u> </u>	Manage for a price state from a see a seculation of the sequence	1	

			
	Page 4711		Page 4713
1	company entered into agreements with MTV	1	MTV Networks and Verizon Wireless are joining
2	Networks and Verizon Wireless, correct?	2	forces to create a single integrated digital
3	A. Correct,	3	music experience that consumers can access via
4	Q. Let me show you what has been	4	their PC, portable music device or mobile
5	marked as Copyright Owners' Exhibit 144 for	5	phone.*
6	identification. Have you seen Exhibit 144	6	Do you see that?
7	before?	7	A. I do.
8	A. Ihave.	8	Q. And do you agree with that
9	Q. And you are an addressee to the	9	characterization in that statement?
10	e-mail that precedes the press release, right?	10	A. Yes.
11	A. Correct.	11	Q. And if you look at the next
12	Q. And this e-mail and this press	12	paragraph, Mr. Krinsky says, "This is an
13	release refers to the agreement that was	13	exciting day for us and for music consumers
14	reached in August '07 with MTV Networks and	14	who will now have an easier time than ever
15	Verizon Wireless, right?	15	before in making music an integral part of
16	A. Correct.	16	their lives wherever they are," right?
17	MR. JOHNSON: 1 offer Exhibit 144	17	A. Yes.
18	in evidence.	18	Q. And if you look down in the next
19	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection	19	paragraph, second sentence, he says, "As you
20	to 144?	20	can imagine, this opens the door for exciting
21	MR. LAGUARDA: No objection, Your	21	new promotions and opportunities," right?
22	Honor.	22	A. Yes.
	Page 4712		Page 4714
1	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without	1	Q. Okay. And this is something that
2	objection, it's admitted.	2	your company was able to achieve after you
3	(Copyright Owners' Trial Exhibit	3	submitted this written statement to the court,
4	No. 144 was received into evidence.)	4 :	night?
5	BY MR. JOHNSON:	5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Mr. Quirk, who is David Krinsky?	6	Q. Okay. Now-
7	A. David Krinsky is a - I believe his	7	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: If you're
8	title is senior director of label relations.	8	moving on to something else, we will recess
9	Q. Okay,	9	for ten minutes.
10	A. At the time that he wrote this, he	10	(Recess.)
11	reported to me.	11	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you. We
12	Q. Now, in August 2007, Mr. Krinsky	12	will come to order.
13	wrote to you and others, "I have some very	13	Mr. Johnson?
14	exciting news to share with you," right? I am	14	BY MR. JOHNSON:
15	looking at the first line.	15 16 ·	Q. Mr. Quirk, the last two exhibits.
16	A. Oh, well what he wrote to me and	ł .	I have placed in front of you Copyright Owners' Exhibits 146 and 147 for
17	others was the draft of the e-mail he was	17	
18	planning to send to all his label contacts.	18	identification. Do you see those?
19	Q. Okay. All right, But in this	19	A. 1 do. Q. And do you recognize Exhibit 146
2.0	draft e-mail in the next paragraph below what	20	
21	I just read to you, as Mr. Krinsky describes	22	for ID as a press release of your company on February 7, 2008, with
22	it, he says the following: "Real Networks,		

	Page 4715	<u> </u>	Page 4717
1	A. 1 do.	1	A. Yes.
2	Q respect to earnings?	2	Q. Now, up at the top by the way,
3	A. Yes.	3	Mr. Glaser, the chairman and CEO of Real
4	Q. And do you recognize Exhibit 147	4	Networks, is the one speaking on that page 4,
5	for ID as the transcript of an earnings call	5	right?
6	that took place on the same day?	6	A. Correct.
7	A. Ido.	7	Q. Okay. Now, he is your boss?
8	Q. And you understand that an earnings	8	A. Yes.
9	call is a call that the senior leadership of	9	Q. Now, if you look at the top
10	your company has with analysts and investors?	10	paragraph of Mr. Glaser's remarks on page 4,
11	A. Yes, 1 do.	11	you will see, "First, let's talk about those
12	Q. Okay. And this occurred 19 days	12	trends. The writing is on the wall, and the
13	ago, right?	13	entire music industry knows that the future is
14	A. Yes. I'm an English major. It	14	in digital music."
15	takes me a while to do math.	15	Do you see that?
16	Q. Now, on February 7, 2008, would you	16	A. I do.
17	characterize your digital music business as	17	Q. And if you look further down,
18	fragile?	18	toward the middle of that paragraph, the
19	A. Yes, I would.	19	sentence that begins, "Up until now"
20	MR. JOHNSON: 1 offer Exhibits 146	20	A. Yeş.
21	and 147 into evidence.	21	Q. "Up until now, we were essentially
22	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection	22	cut off from 70 percent of the digital track
	Page 4716		Page 4718
1	to Exhibit 146?	1	business, the iPod segment, because of DRM
2	MR. LAGUARDA: No objection to	2	format incompatibilities."
3	Exhibit 146, Your Honor.	3	Do you see that?
4	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection	4	A. Ido.
5	to - well, just a moment. Without objection,	5	Q. All right. That means that record
6	it's admitted.	6	owners that were selling to Apple for the
7	(Copyright Owners' Trial Exhibit	7	iPod - you didn't have access to that up
8	No. 146 was received into evidence.)	8	until this point
9	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection	9	A. Not exactly.
10	to Exhibit 147?	10	Q. —in terms of permanent downloads?
11	MR. LAGUARDA: No objection to	11	A. We had access to the permanent
12	Exhibit 147, Your Honor.	12	downloads. We did not have access to them in
13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without	13	a non-DRM format, namely MP3.
14	objection, it's admitted.	14	Q. Okay. If you look at the next
15	(Copyright Owners' Trial Exhibit	15	sentence, it says, "However, after a lot of
16	No. 147 was received into evidence.)	16	cajoling from us and the rest of the digital
17	BY MR. JOHNSON:	17	industry, the labels have seen the light and
18	Q. Mr. Quirk, I want to start with the	18	all the major labels have now announced that
19	earnings call, 147. And I would like you to	19	they will sell their catalogs in interoperable
20	turn to page 4 of the transcript. The page	20 21	MP3 format."
21	number is down at the bottom right-hand	22	That's obviously good news for your company, right?
22	corner, shaded.	122	romband' ukuri

	Capital Acpor		T
	Page 4719	-	Page 4721
1	A. Yes.	1	A. Correct.
3	Q. And if you look down toward the	2	Q. And if you look further down in
3	bottom of this particular page, you will see	3	that paragraph you see a revenue number for
4	reference to the agreements that we earlier	4	music, right?
5	talked about with MTV. Do you see that?	5	A. Yes. in the state of
6	A. Yes.	6	Q. And that's your business, right?
7	Q. And there is also a reference to	7	A. Yes.
8	the fact that your company is inheriting the	8	Q. And the revenue number for music is
9	subscription music business from Yahoo!,	9	a 21 percent increase from the fourth quarter
10	right?	10	of the year before, right?
11	A. Correct.	11	A. Correct.
12	Q. Okay. And when did that happen?	12	Q. Look at the next page, please, down
13	A. It was announced it might have	13	at the bottom, full year 2007 results.
14	been this same day or a few days beforehand.	14	A. Uh-huh.
15	It was earlier in February it was announced.	15	Q. You will see there the statement
16	Q. That's also good news for your	16	reads, "For 2007, revenue grew 44 percent to
17	company, right?	17	567.6 million compared to 395.3 million for
18	A. It is, yes.	18	2006."
19	Q. All right. Would you look at 146,	19	That's for the company as a whole,
20	please? 146 is entitled, "Real networks	20	night?
21	announces fourth quarter and full year 2007	21	A. Correct.
22	results." This is dated February 7, 2008,	22	Q. And you also see that for music
	Page 4720	<u> </u>	Page 4722
1	correct?	1	there was a 21 percent revenue increase for
2	A. Yes.	2	the full year of 2007 as compared to 2006,
3	Q. And if you look at the bottom full	3.	right?
4	paragraph there well, actually, if you look	4	A. Correct.
5	at the preceding paragraph, the statement	5	Q. And if you look at the next page
6	reads, "2007 was a strong year for Real	6	you will see that your company, for 2008,
.7	Networks."	7.	expects revenue in the range of 612 million to
8	This is your company in its	8	632 million, right?
o G		9	A. Yes.
-	entirety, right?	10	Q. That's an increase from revenue of
10	A. Yes.	11	2007 of 567.6 million, right?
11	Q. This statement is not limited to	12	A. Yes.
12	the music business, right?	13	MR, JOHNSON: I have no further
13	A. Correct.	14	
14	Q. Okay. But the statement is strong	ţ	questions.
15	year for Real Networks in 2007, right?	15	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any questions
16	A. Yes.	16	by RIAA?
17	Q. Okay. If you look down at the	17	MR. FREEDMAN: No, Your Honor.
18	paragraph below that, you will see for the	18	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any redirect?
19	fourth quarter of 2007 revenue grew 25 percent	19	MR. LAGUARDA: No, Your Honor.
20	to 1. — I'm sorry — to 156.9 million	20	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Questions from
21	compared with 125.6 million for the fourth	21	the bench?
22	quarter of '06, right?	22	Thank you, sir. That ends your

36 (Pages 4719 to 4722)

	Page 4723	<u> </u>	Page 4725
1	testimony.	1	American operation, would that be recorded
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	3	music or music publishing or both?
3	(Witness excused.)	3.	A. No, just recorded music, not
4	MR. LAGUARDA: Your Honor, this	-4	publishing.
5	concludes the witnesses and the presentation	5	Q. And I'm sorry. How long did you
6	of DiMA's direct case.	6	have that position?
7	I believe at this time the RIAA has	7	A. I had that position for five years,
8	a witness.	8	just slightly over five years.
9	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo?	9	Q. Mr. Munns, we put in front of you
10	MR. HANDZO: Thank you, Your Honor.	10	what we have marked as RIAA Trial Exhibit 76.
11	The RIAA will call as its final witness	11	Can you identify that for us, please?
12	Mr. David Munns.	12	A. Yes. That's my testimony.
13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Munns,	13	Q. And is that accurate, sir?
14	please raise your right hand.	14	A. Yes, it is.
15	Whereupon,	15	MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I would
16	DAVID MUNNS,	16	move RIAA Trial Exhibit 76 into evidence.
17	called for examination by counsel, and having	17	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection?
18	been sworn by the Chief Judge, was examined	18	MR. COHEN: No, Your Honor.
19	and testified as follows:	19	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without
20	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you,	20	objection, it's admitted.
21	sir. Please be seated.	21	(RIAA Trial Exhibit No. 76 was •
22	-	22	received into evidence.)
	Page 4724		Page 4726
1	DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, there is
2	BY MR. HANDZO:	2	one number in this document for which we would
3	Q. Sir, could you introduce yourself	3	rask restricted treatment under the protective
4	to the court, please.	4	order. It appears on page 5. In the second
5	A. My name is David Munns. Until a	5	to last line there is a figure there on the
6 -	year ago I was the worldwide vice chairman of	.5.	percentage of profitability of ring tones that
7	EMI Recorded Music division and the CEO of the	7	generate enough revenue to cover costs. And
8	North American business.	8	that is something that the company regards as
9	Q. Where are you currently employed?	9	competitively sensitive data.
10	A. Currently employed in the I'm a	10	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection?
11	music consultant in the U.K.	11	MR. COHEN: No, Your Honor.
12	Q. While you were with EMI in the	12	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: The motion is
13	positions that you just described, what were	13	granted.
14	your responsibilities?	14	BY MR. HANDZO:
15	A. With my vice chairman's hat on, all	15	Q. Mr. Munns, in your written
16	the senior executives in the record world in	16	testimony, among other things, you talk about
17	EMI reported jointly to myself and the	17	the cost of digital distribution. I just want
18	chairman. And in the - with my CEO of North	18	to focus on a couple of things there. One of
19	America hat on, I ran the North American	19	the things that you talk about is having to
20	operation and all the executives reported to	20	maintain both a physical distribution system
21	me there.	21	and a digital distribution system. Why is
22	Q. When you say you ran the North	22	that a problem?